The Determinants of Lower Extremity Amputation in Diabetic Foot Ulcer Patients: A Comprehensive Clinical Review of Risk Factors, Outcomes, and Systemic Drivers

Dr.N.JUNIOR SUNDRESH[1] ,M.S., FRCS., FACS., PhD., SHANMATHI.S[2], SUBAGEERTHI.A.T.[3]

[1]*PROFESSOR OF SURGERY, GOVERNMENT CUDDALORE MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL, ANNAMALAINAGAR, CHIDAMBARAM, CUDDALORE DT - 608002.*

[2,3,]*PHARM.D, DEPARTMENT OF PHARMACY, ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY, ANNAMALAINAGAR, CHIDAMBARAM, CUDDALORE DT - 608002.*

ABSTRACT:

*The most common cause of non-traumatic lower extremity amputation (LEA) is **diabetic foot ulceration (DFU)**. The progression to amputation results from a failure across three tiers: **acute pathological insult**, **chronic risk burden**, and **fragmented healthcare**.*

*The most **urgent threat** is **acute pathological factors**. Severe **Peripheral Artery Disease (PAD)**, indicated by Ankle-Brachial Index (ABI) < 0.4, dramatically increases major amputation risk (OR 15.77). **Gangrene** (OR 10.90) and **deep infections** like osteomyelitis (OR 3.70) are also catastrophic. Immediate therapies must focus on prompt diagnosis and **rapid revascularization** for ischemia.*

*Chronic risk factors include **hyperglycemia** and comorbidities like **Coronary Artery Disease (CAD)** (OR 2.67). Systemic variation in treatment is an independent, considerable risk. The most effective way to optimize limb salvage is the universal adoption of **Multidisciplinary Teams (MCTs)**, which have been shown to reduce major amputations by 39% to 56%. Strict **glycemic management** provides a preventive benefit, reducing overall amputation risk by 35%.*

Keywords: Diabetic Foot Ulcer (DFU), Lower Extremity Amputation (LEA),Peripheral Arterial Disease (PAD), Ankle-Brachial Index (ABI), Multidisciplinary Team (MCT), Ischemia, Gangrene, Osteomyelitis, Glycemic Control, Risk Factors.

INTRODUCTION:

Diabetic foot ulceration (DFU), a major clinical and public health concern, continues to be the leading cause of non-traumatic lower limb amputation (LEA) worldwide[1]. According to estimates, a person with diabetes has a lifetime risk of acquiring a foot ulcer of between 19% and 34%. After the initial ulceration, the lifetime incidence of LEA might approach 20%[2]. Acute, local pathology linked to vascular collapse poses the greatest immediate threat to limb viability, according to a statistical analysis of risk variables for amputation in DFU patients. Severe ischemia, defined as an Ankle-Brachial Index (ABI) < 0.4, is the most catastrophic single predictor found. It raises the likelihood of major amputation by a factor of more than fifteen (OR 15.77)[3]. The occurrence of irreversible tissue necrosis, such as gangrene, is the second most significant factor (OR 10.90)[4].

In addition to the severity of the patient's illness, the structure and quality of treatment delivery also contribute significantly to the likelihood of amputation. Multidisciplinary Teams (MCTs) have been shown to significantly and quantitatively reduce major amputations by 39% to 56% (Risk Ratio (RR) 0.44–0.61)[5]. Moreover, poor outcomes are known to be independently caused by systemic variance in care. Even after controlling for patient comorbidities, the risks of major leg amputation were shown to be 1.85 times greater amongst randomly chosen facilities, indicating that standardizing high-quality, DFU-specific care is a crucial policy requirement for limb preservation[6].

The main clinical, metabolic, infection-related, and treatment-related variables that lead to lower-limb amputation in patients with diabetic foot ulcers (DFU) are examined in this review. Neuropathy, peripheral arterial disease, osteomyelitis, glycemic control, comorbidities, and the effects of improper or delayed care are all thoroughly evaluated as part of the scope. This study highlight and examine the principal elements contributing to the advancement of diabetic foot ulcers to lower-limb amputation, verified by data from prior studies.

II. Evidence Synthesis:

In order to ensure the statistical integrity of the ensuing analysis, this section outlines the aims and extent of the systematic reviews and cohort studies that provide the quantified risk data. This fulfills the requirement to situate the evidence within recognized academic standards.

2.1. Methodology of Included Reviews:

Comprehensive systematic reviews and meta-analyses provide the key quantitative data on individual risk factors. One significant study sought to investigate the relative risk variables influencing amputation in DFU patients, encompassing 21 cohorts and 6,505 people (2,006 of whom underwent LEA).This analysis included a thorough search of the PubMed, SCIE, and Embase databases for English language publications published prior to October 31, 2019. Heterogeneity was evaluated using statistical models. For example, the analysis of sex and ulcer history used fixed-effects models, which showed modest heterogeneity (I^2 = 20% for sex and I^2 = 24% for history of ulcers), providing confidence to the pooled OR estimates[4].

A different study, focusing on a significant group of 3,654 consecutive DFU patients admitted to a single diabetic wound center, examined the clinical and biochemical factors linked to major amputation through multivariate logistic regression. The aim was to determine independent predictors for major amputation within this population, revealing some of the most substantial Odds Ratios for pathological factors such as severe ischemia and ulcer grade[3].

2.2. Summary of Foundational Meta-Analytic Data:

Critical information was also supplied by specific area studies. For instance, a systematic review and meta-analysis using both Chinese and English databases up until December 2023 concentrated on determining factors influencing amputation incidence among Chinese DFU patients [7]. In addition to confirming, diabetic peripheral vascular disease, and a history of ulcers were major risk factors, this regional investigation found that strict glycemic control might objectively lower the chance of amputation by 35%[7].

Also, a comprehensive six-year retrospective cohort study of 86,094 veterans newly diagnosed with DFUs across 140 Veterans Health Administration (VHA) sites is used to analyze systemic risk factors. Examining facility-level heterogeneity in major leg amputation rates while accounting for all societal variables and patient-level comorbidities was the explicit goal of this extensive study. This approach offered a special way to quantify risk that was exclusively related to the healthcare setting.

III. Local Pathological Factors: The Proximate Drivers of Limb Loss:

These traits have the best statistical correlation with amputation outcomes because they reflect the urgent clinical status of the limb that requires surgical intervention.

3.1. Peripheral Ischemia and Vascular Status:

According to quantitative research, the most important factor influencing the need for a major amputation is severe peripheral artery disease (PAD). In multivariate studies, the degree of circulatory impairment, specifically indicated by an Ankle-Brachial Index (ABI) of less than 0.4, results in an overwhelming Odds Ratio of 15.77 (95% CI 7.51-33.13; $p < 0.01$) for severe amputation. Regardless of all clinical treatment attempts, this startling statistic shows that inadequate perfusion at this key level is a failure condition that makes the foot nearly irreparably damaged.[3]

The occurrence of severe ischemia supersedes almost all other prognostic markers, which has significant clinical implications. The clinical reality that local debridement and antimicrobial therapy alone will be insufficient due to the body's incapacity to carry oxygen, antibiotics, and healing elements to the wound site is confirmed by statistical validation. Revascularization attempts and urgent vascular consulting must therefore be initiated as soon as severe PAD is identified. The primary predictor of non-healing and required major amputation is consistently found to be failure to achieve effective revascularization
($P < 0.0001$)[8].

3.2. Infection Severity and Necrosis Progression

The most potent causes of amputation after ischemia are the development of infection and the ensuing tissue death. The high risk of gangrene (OR 10.90,95% 5.73-20.8) indicates that the chance of limb salvage rapidly decreases once widespread tissue necrosis occurs. This suggests that the risk of amputation increases by an order of magnitude when widespread, irreversible necrosis replaces localized illness or ulceration.[4]

Long-term infections also make limb salvage more difficult. Osteomyelitis, an infection of the bone, is closely linked to a higher risk of amputation(OR 3.70,95% Cl 3.02-4.53)[4]. The severity of the ulcer at presentation is strongly predictive(OR-5..50,95% Cl 1.89-16.01,$p<0.01$)[9].

Laboratory signs capture the systemic reaction to infection. The chance of amputation is statistically associated with a considerably higher White Blood Cell (WBC) count (Mean Difference (MD) 2.42, $P < 0.00001$).This test result is an acute prognostic indication that reflects the systemic inflammatory burden rather than only an infection sign. Infection speeds up tissue deterioration (gangrene) in an ischemia-compromised limb, turning a treatable wound into a catastrophic amputation surgical emergency. The exceptionally high odds ratios for gangrene and severe ulcer grades can be explained by the combination of critical ischemia and widespread infection[4].

IV. Systemic and Metabolic Predictors: Chronic Risk Burden:

These patient-level variables set the stage for the acute events by reflecting the general burden of diabetes, related comorbidities, and the history of disease management.

4.1.The Burden of Comorbidities:

The foot is a significant component of the body's overall advanced macrovascular disease. Major amputation has been found to be strongly predicted with coronary artery disease (CAD)(OR 2.67,95% Cl 1.35-5.29; p=0.03)[3]. In this case, the statistical significance of CAD reveals that DFU amputation is frequently a symptom of advanced widespread atherosclerosis and systemic vascular degradation rather than an isolated localized event. Therefore, there is already a substantial risk of concomitant major adverse cardiovascular events and mortality for patients who need LEA.

4.2. Glycemic Control: The Paradox of HbA1c:

There is a lack of evidence about the relationship between the risk of immediate amputation and chronic hyperglycemia indicators, specifically , causing the need for careful interpretation. Strangely, some significant meta-analyses looking at the risk of acute amputation have found no correlation between the requirement for amputation (MD 0.02,P= 0.87)[4].Similarly, these big pooled analyses occasionally revealed no significant connection between age, type of diabetes, and hypertension.

However, scientific data and clinical experience indicate that the disease process leading to amputation is integrally connected to chronic hyperglycemia. Meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials have demonstrated that intensive glycemic control can lower the overall risk of amputation in patients with diabetic foot syndrome by 35%[7]. Additionally, higher HbA1c was found to be an independent risk factor for severe amputation in several cohort studies utilizing multivariate analysis(OR 1.23,P=0.03)[3].

This paradox is explained by the theory that chronic hyperglycemia, as determined by hba1c, largely acts as a predisposing factor. The micro- and macrovascular problems (neuropathy, PAD) that result from poor long-term control set the stage for severe DFU (Grade 5). The acute factors (ABI < 0.4, Gangrene) become the immediate, high-magnitude predictors of limb loss once a severe, infected ischemic ulcer develops, overshadowing the value obtained at the time of acute presentation. Optimizing metabolic state is still a crucial tactic for preventing the earliest severe lesions that result in surgical failure, as seen by the 35% protective effect of strict glycemic control[7].

4.3. Lifestyle and Other Biochemical Factors:

Certain lifestyle decisions greatly increase the risk of vascular disease. A significant and completely preventable risk factor for major amputation is repeatedly found to be smoking history(OR 2.58,95% Cl 1.31-5.07 ;p = 0.01)[3]. Smoking significantly reduces perfusion and healing capacity, aggravating endothelial dysfunction and macrovascular disease, which directly leads to the progression from ulcer to gangrene[3].

Prognostic indications include other biochemical and demographic markers. According to the pooled data, male sex is linked to a considerably greater incidence of amputation than female patients (OR 1.30, P < 0.00001), with men accounting for 32.81% of amputations against 28.08% in females[4].

Additionally predictive are biochemical indicators of systemic health and nutritional status. A disturbed systemic state required for efficient tissue regeneration and recovery was indicated by lower levels of plasma albumin (ALB), which were linked to a higher risk of amputation (OR 0.88) [3]. On the other hand, a higher risk of amputation was linked to a lower Body Mass Index (BMI) (MD = -0.88)[4]. This seemingly paradoxical discovery highlights the need of total systemic health for optimal outcomes by indicating that those who are weak, cachectic, or enduring considerable weight loss due to chronic illness or advanced sequelae have a worse prognosis for limb salvage. The amputation group is also shown to have considerably higher levels of several metabolic markers, including high total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP)[7].

V. Healthcare Delivery Risk Factors and Mitigation Strategies:

Despite being unrelated to patient biology, systemic factors pertaining to healthcare organization, speed, and quality have a significant, quantifiable impact on limb salvage outcomes.

5.1. Facility-Level Variation and Standardization of Care:

Disparities in outcomes at the facility level provide the strongest evidence for systemic risk. According to a significant cohort study that looked at a sizable group of veterans with incident DFUs, limb loss is independently influenced by the quality of healthcare. Even after controlling for patient-level variables such socioeconomic causes, demographics, and comorbidities, the study discovered that the probabilities of a major leg amputation were 1.85 times higher for an average patient between two randomly chosen hospitals[6].

Among the included centers, the facility odds ratio for major leg amputation varied significantly, ranging from 0.29 to 3.53.Compared to the facility-level variation in 1-year mortality, this degree of variation was found to be much greater. This important distinction demonstrates that the discrepancy is not only the result of overall subpar treatment but rather of particular shortcomings or superiority in DFU-specific care protocols, coordination, and knowledge. This research raises the need of treatment standardization from a clinical goal to an institutional necessity, revealing that the organizational setting might contribute a risk magnitude equal to proven pathology, such as prior amputation [6].

5.2. The Protective Efficacy of the Multidisciplinary Team (MCT):

The use of a specialized Multidisciplinary Team (MCT) approach is the most reliable and measurable method for reducing amputation risk related to systemic failure. Healthcare systems should anticipate a significant decrease in major amputations, ranging from 39% to 56% (RR 0.44 to 0.61), following the implementation of an MCT program, according to meta-analyses[5].

The MCT's systematic approach, which mitigates the hazards associated with fragmented or delayed therapy, is what makes it effective. Four essential components are universally present in successful MCTs: 1) the combination of medical and surgical specialties; 2) a clear structure and defined leadership ("a captain"); 3) quick referral pathways and care algorithms; and 4) the concurrent treatment of the four essential DFU management pillars: glycemic control, local wound care, vascular disease, and infection. The direct, evidence-based answer required to overcome the known facility-level differences in outcomes is provided by the substantial quantitative protection provided by the MCT (RR 0.44-0.61)[10].

5.3. The Time-is-Tissue Principle:

In cases of ischemia or profound infection, prompt intervention is crucial to limb preservation. One known factor contributing to the necessity for a significant amputation is a delay in diagnosis or referral. Research has demonstrated that treatment delays directly resulted in the need for more proximal amputation levels, including below-knee amputations in limbs initially thought to be salvageable. These delays were frequently caused by underestimating the severity of foot infections or failing to recognize ischemia due to large-vessel occlusive disease[8].

Time delays have a serious compounding effect on prognosis. Amputation rates for patients with pre-hospital delays more than 14 days were 47.1%, almost twice as high as those for patients with pre-hospital delays less than 7 days (25.9%).Time is a crucial and finite resource in DFU management, as this relationship demonstrates. Because gangrene and irreversible tissue damage can worsen with every day of delay, protocols must require prompt triage to evaluate the catastrophic danger posed by severe PAD, turning potential limb recovery into unavoidable major limb loss[8].

VI. Consolidated Quantitative Findings and Clinical Interpretations:

A clear statistical foundation for clinical and policy decisions is provided by the accompanying tables, which highlight the most potent, independently quantified risk factors and the most measurable therapies generated from systematic review and multivariate analysis.

Table 1: Independent Risk Factors for Major Amputation in DFU Patients (Quantified Meta-Analytic Data)

RISK FACTOR CATEGORY	SPECIFIC FACTORS	ODD RATIOS(OR)/ MEAN DIFFERENCE(MD)	95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL (Cl)	STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE (p-VALUE)	ASSOCIATED STUDY CONTEXT
Severe ischemia	ABI < 0.4	15.77(OR)	7.51-33.13	<0.01	Multivariate DFU cohort [3]
Acute pathology	Gangrene	10.90(OR)	5.73-20.8	<0.00001	Meta-analysis[4]
Acute infection	Osteomyelitis	3.70(OR)	3.02-4.53	<0.00001	Meta-analysis[4]
Systemic vascular disease	Coronary artery disease (CAD)	2.67(OR)	1.35-5.29	0.03	Multivariate DFU cohort[3]
Lifestyle	Smoking history	2.58(OR)	1.31-5.07	0.01	Multivariate DFU cohort[3]
Acute inflammation	High White Blood Cell(WBC) count	2.42(MD)	2.02-2.82	<0.00001	Meta-analysis[4]
Glycemic control	High HbA1c	1.23(OR)	1.03-1.48	0.03	Multivariate DFU cohort[3]
Demographic	Male sex	1.30(OR)	1.16-1.46	<0.00001	Meta-analysis[4]

6.1. Impact of Systemic Interventions and Quality of Care on Amputation Risk:

- Major amputation rates are reduced by 39–56% (Risk Ratio 0.44–0.61) when Multidisciplinary Teams (MCTs) are involved[5, 10].
- According to a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, intensive metabolic control lowers the risk of amputation by 35%[5].
- Care standardization reveals significant differences in institution outcomes; across two randomly chosen facilities, the probabilities of a major leg amputation were 1.85 times greater[7].
- The prognosis is affected by the timeliness of care (pre-hospital delay >14 days vs <7 days), with amputation rates of 47.1% for delayed care and 25.9% for early care [6].

VII. Prevention Strategies and Early Interventions to Reduce Amputation Risk[11]:

- Effective diabetes control is the greatest method to avoid diabetic complications, such as foot ulcers. This include maintaining a nutritious diet, exercising frequently, keeping an eye on blood sugar levels, and correctly taking prescription medications.

- Taking good care of the feet is crucial for avoiding issues and ensuring that you get medical aid as soon as you notice any symptoms. The following are examples of foot care practices

- Every day, feet should be examined for blisters, cuts, cracks, sores, redness, discomfort, or swelling. The bottoms of the feet can be seen with a hand mirror or, if necessary, with the help of someone else.

- Avoid smoking: Smoking can exacerbate wounds and slow healing because it limits blood flow and oxygen supply to tissues. Giving up smoking enhances foot health and circulation.

- Regular foot examination: Frequent examinations by a podiatrist or healthcare professional can help spot early foot issues including poor circulation or nerve damage. An annual examination of the feet is encouraged, and high-risk patients may benefit from more regular evaluations.

- Daily foot washing: Use lukewarm, not hot, water to wash the feet once a day. They need to be carefully dried, especially in the spaces between the toes. The places where calluses frequently form can be gently rubbed with a pumice stone.

- Maintaining skin softness and dryness: To keep the skin dry between the toes, apply cornstarch or talcum powder. To avoid dryness and cracking, apply moisturizing lotion or cream to the top and bottom of the foot. Reducing bacterial infiltration is aided by preventing skin cracks.

- Preventing self-treatment of lesions: Warts, corns, and calluses should not be removed by the person. These lesions should not be treated with chemical wart removers, scissors, nail files, or clippers. A podiatrist or other healthcare professional must treat such issues.

- Safe toenail care: Use an emery board to carefully file sharp edges and trim toenails straight across. If self-trimming is challenging, help should be sought.

- Avoid barefoot walking: Even indoors, barefoot walking raises the risk of injury and should be avoided.

- Wearing proper socks: Need to keep the feet dry, clean socks. Moisture-absorbing materials, such cotton or acrylic fibers, are favored. Avoid wearing socks with uncomfortable seams and tight elastic bands that impede circulation.

- Selecting appropriate footwear: It is advised to wear comfortable shoes that offer adequate support and cushioning for the heel, arch, and ball of the foot. It is not recommended to wear small shoes that crush the toes, high heels, or tight shoes. Shoes should be selected according to the larger size if one foot is larger than the other. For improved fit, cushioning, and uniform weight distribution, special orthopedic shoes could be advised.

VIII. Conclusion: An Integrative Model for Amputation Prevention:

The main conclusion drawn from this systematic review and meta-analysis is that lower extremity amputation (LEA) in patients with diabetic foot ulcers (DFU) is a highly predictable outcome of a three-tiered failure: fragmented healthcare delivery, acute pathological insult, and chronic predisposition.

The Risk Hierarchy:

Acute Crisis Takes This quantitative synthesis shows a clear hierarchy in which the most direct and significant causes of limb loss are acute, pathogenic conditions. The likelihood of a major amputation is increased by almost sixteen times (OR 15.77) when critical ischemia (Ankle-Brachial Ind< 0.4) is prevalent. This outcome requires that the main goal of acute care be the assessment and prompt revascularization of severe peripheral artery disease (PAD). Signs of irreversible tissue loss, such gangrene (OR 10.90) 2, and deep-seated infections, especially osteomyelitis (OR 3.70), closely accompany ischemia. The current condition of vascular collapse and uncontrolled sepsis is the primary determinant of the surgical decision point, as confirmed by these high-magnitude odds ratios.

Although poor glycemic management and other chronic metabolic variables are inherently associated with the development of DFU, they mostly serve as pre-disposing hazards. However, randomized controlled trials have demonstrated that strict glycemic control can lower the total risk of amputation by 35%. Highlighting its crucial part in long-term primary and secondary prevention. Additionally, known chronic patient loads, such as Smoking History (OR 2.58), are important indicators of the population most susceptible to catastrophic events in the future.

The Institutional Mandate: Standardizing Care to Mitigate Systemic Failure

The identification of the healthcare system as an independent component in the risk equation is arguably the most significant and practical conclusion. Even after controlling for patient comorbidities, a patient's outcome is significantly impacted by the organizational context in which they get care, as evidenced by the significant facility-level variation in amputation rates, measured by a median Odds Ratio of 1.85 between randomly chosen facilities.

The establishment of a specialized Multidisciplinary Team (MCT) is the obvious remedy to this systemic vulnerability. Between 39% and 56% fewer major amputations occur as a result of MCT programs, according to strong evidence from meta-analyses. This evidence serves as the foundation for a clinical and policy mandate: the arbitrary risk associated with facility variation can only be eliminated by standardizing care, guaranteeing prompt triage for ischemia, and ensuring integrated management of the four critical pillars (vascularity, infection, wound care, and metabolic control) through an MCT.

In findings, the inability to identify and promptly treat severe ischemia and uncontrolled gangrene, which are made worse by persistent, controllable risk factors, is the primary cause of the trajectory toward LEA. Adopting high-fidelity, rapid-response MCTs universally is the most effective institutional method for limb salvage because it directly addresses the systemic flaws and clinical difficulties that lead to avoidable amputations.

REFERENCES:

1. Guo, G., et al., *HbA1c and the Risk of Lower Limb Ulcers Among Diabetic Patients: An Observational and Genetics Study.* J Diabetes Res, 2025. **2025**: p. 4744194.
2. McDermott, K., et al., *Etiology, Epidemiology, and Disparities in the Burden of Diabetic Foot Ulcers.* Diabetes Care, 2022. **46**(1): p. 209-221.
3. Lu, Q., et al., *Risk Factors for Major Amputation in Diabetic Foot Ulcer Patients.* Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy, 2021. **14**(null): p. 2019-2027.
4. Lin, C., J. Liu, and H. Sun, *Risk factors for lower extremity amputation in patients with diabetic foot ulcers: A meta-analysis.* PLOS ONE, 2020. **15**(9): p. e0239236.
5. Albright, R.H., et al., *Effectiveness of multidisciplinary care teams in reducing major amputation rate in adults with diabetes: A systematic review & meta-analysis.* Diabetes Res Clin Pract, 2020. **161**: p. 107996.
6. Suzuki, H., et al., *Facility-Level Variation in Major Leg Amputation Among Patients With Newly Diagnosed Diabetic Foot Ulcer.* JAMA Netw Open, 2025. **8**(4): p. e256781.
7. Zhang, Y., et al., *Incidence and risk factors for amputation in Chinese patients with diabetic foot ulcers: a systematic review and meta-analysis.* Frontiers in Endocrinology, 2024. **Volume 15 - 2024**.
8. Mills, J., W. Beckett, and S. Taylor, *The diabetic foot: Consequences of delayed treatment and referral.* Southern medical journal, 1991. **84**: p. 970-4.
9. Mansoor, Z. and A. Modaweb, *Predicting Amputation in Patients With Diabetic Foot Ulcers: A Systematic Review.* Cureus, 2022. **14**(7): p. e27245.
10. Musuuza, J., et al., *A systematic review of multidisciplinary teams to reduce major amputations for patients with diabetic foot ulcers.* J Vasc Surg, 2020. **71**(4): p. 1433-1446.e3.
11. Hingorani, A., et al., *The management of diabetic foot: A clinical practice guideline by the Society for Vascular Surgery in collaboration with the American Podiatric Medical Association and the Society for Vascular Medicine.* Journal of Vascular Surgery, 2016. **63**(2): p. 3S-21S.