Integrative Insights into Neuropharmacology, Experimental Screening, and Emerging Therapeutic Innovations in Neurodegenerative Disorders: A Comprehensive Review

Koppala R V S Chaitanya *1, Akhila Jogu¹

*1Department of pharmacology, Sarojini Naidu Vanita Pharmacy Maha Vidyalaya, Vijayapuri Colony, Tarnaka, Secunderabad, Telangana, India, 500017.

Address of correspondence:

Dr. Koppala R V S Chaitanya
Associate Professor,
Department of pharmacology,
Sarojini Naidu Vanita Pharmacy Maha Vidyalaya,
Vijayapuri Colony, Tarnaka,
Secunderabad,
Telangana, India, 500017.
+91-9398167891
Chaitukoppala.snvpmv@gmail.com

Abstract

Background:

Neurodegenerative diseases (NDs) such as Alzheimer's disease (AD), Parkinson's disease (PD), Huntington's disease (HD), and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) impose immense social and clinical burdens. Their progressive neuronal loss results from diverse mechanisms including protein aggregation, mitochondrial dysfunction, neuroinflammation, and genetic mutations. Neuropharmacology seeks to elucidate how drugs influence neuronal function and behavior to discover novel therapeutic agents. Aim: This review consolidates current knowledge on neuropharmacological mechanisms, preclinical screening and animal modeling approaches, and recent advances in molecular and cellular therapeutics for NDs. Methods: Peer-reviewed literature from 2000-2025 was surveyed via PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science databases using keywords: neuropharmacology, neurodegenerative disease, animal models, antisense oligonucleotides, gene therapy, and neuromodulation. Articles on molecular mechanisms, preclinical assays, clinical translation, and regulatory approvals were critically analyzed. Results: Neuropharmacological studies have revealed multiple therapeutic targets, including neurotransmitter systems, oxidative stress, neuroinflammatory signaling, and protein aggregation pathways. A wide spectrum of animal screening methods behavioral, biochemical, histological, and imaging-based continues to guide preclinical evaluation. Rapid progress in antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs), adeno-associated viral (AAV) gene therapy, CRISPR-based genome editing, stem cell therapy, and monoclonal antibodies marks a paradigm shift in ND management. Conclusions: Modern neuropharmacology integrates mechanistic insight, preclinical modeling, and cutting-edge biotechnologies to achieve disease modification in previously intractable conditions. Translational fidelity, precision biomarkers, and ethical frameworks will determine the future success of these strategies.

Keywords: Neuropharmacology; Animal models; Behavioral screening; Gene therapy; Antisense oligonucleotides;

1. Introduction

Neurodegenerative diseases (NDs) represent a heterogeneous group of chronic, progressive disorders characterized by selective neuronal loss within defined regions of the central nervous system (CNS) [1]. Major examples include Alzheimer's disease (AD), Parkinson's disease (PD), Huntington's disease (HD), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), and spinal muscular atrophy (SMA). Collectively, they affect more than 50 million individuals worldwide, with global incidence projected to double by 2050 [2].

Pathogenetic mechanisms are multifactorial, involving abnormal protein aggregation, impaired proteostasis, mitochondrial dysfunction, excitotoxicity, oxidative stress, and neuroinflammatory cascades [3,4]. Despite extensive research, current pharmacotherapy remains largely symptomatic cholinesterase inhibitors in AD, levodopa and dopamine agonists in PD, and riluzole in ALS—offering only temporary relief without altering disease progression [5,6].

Neuropharmacology bridges the understanding between molecular pathology and therapeutic modulation of neuronal activity. It explores how drugs act on CNS receptors, ion channels, transporters, and signaling cascades to restore or modify neural function [7]. In NDs, neuropharmacological research underpins target discovery, screening methodologies, and drug development pipelines [8].

Animal models and behavioral screening techniques play a critical role in evaluating efficacy and mechanistic validity prior to human clinical trials [9]. Parallel advances in biotechnology—particularly gene therapy, antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs), CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing, monoclonal antibodies, and stem cell therapy have initiated a new era of disease-modifying approaches [10–12].

This review integrates classical neuropharmacological concepts with modern therapeutic innovations, emphasizing preclinical screening methodologies, translational challenges, and future perspectives in the treatment of neurodegenerative disorders.

2. Neuropharmacological Basis of Neurodegeneration

2.1. Neurotransmitter systems and synaptic dysregulation

Neurodegeneration disrupts the delicate balance of neurotransmission across multiple systems. In AD, cholinergic deficits in the basal forebrain contribute to memory impairment [13]. PD involves dopaminergic neuron loss in the substantia nigra pars compacta, leading to striatal dopamine depletion and motor symptoms [14]. Glutamatergic excitotoxicity, mediated via NMDA receptor overactivation, causes neuronal calcium overload and cell death, observed in ALS and HD models [15].

Neuropharmacological interventions aim to restore neurotransmitter balance:

- Cholinesterase inhibitors (donepezil, rivastigmine) enhance cholinergic transmission [16].
- Dopaminergic agents (levodopa, pramipexole, MAO-B inhibitors) replenish dopamine in PD [17].

• NMDA receptor antagonists (memantine) mitigate excitotoxicity in AD [18]. Emerging strategies target receptor subtypes (mGluR5 antagonists, 5-HT1A agonists, adenosine A2A antagonists) to refine efficacy and limit side effects [19].

2.2. Mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress

Mitochondrial damage and reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulation are common denominators in NDs [20]. Complex I deficiency in PD and oxidative injury in AD correlate with impaired ATP production and apoptotic signaling [21]. Neuroprotective compounds such as coenzyme Q10, edaravone, and mitochondria-targeted antioxidants (MitoQ, SkQ1) are being evaluated for their ability to restore bioenergetic homeostasis [22]. Antioxidant defenses (superoxide dismutase, catalase, glutathione peroxidase) are frequently overwhelmed, justifying pharmacological strategies to enhance endogenous antioxidant capacity or inhibit ROS generation [23].

2.3. Protein aggregation and proteostasis imbalance

Misfolded proteins such as β -amyloid and tau in AD, α -synuclein in PD, and mutant huntingtin in HD form insoluble aggregates that disrupt neuronal function [24]. Molecular chaperones and the ubiquitin–proteasome system maintain proteostasis; their dysfunction accelerates pathology [25].

Small-molecule aggregation inhibitors, proteasome activators, and autophagy inducers (rapamycin, trehalose) are under investigation to enhance clearance of pathological proteins [26]. Monoclonal antibodies such as aducanumab and donanemab specifically target amyloid species to promote immunological removal, though clinical efficacy remains debated [27].

2.4. Neuroinflammation and glial activation

Chronic activation of microglia and astrocytes contributes to neuronal loss via release of proinflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6) [28]. NF- κ B signaling and NLRP3 inflammasome activation are pivotal in sustaining this inflammatory milieu [29].

Neuropharmacological modulation includes inhibitors of microglial activation (minocycline), selective COX-2 inhibitors, and modulators of cannabinoid or purinergic receptors (CB2 agonists, P2X7 antagonists) [30,31]. Recent research explores microglia-specific gene reprogramming to shift toward a neuroprotective phenotype [32].

2.5. Genetic factors and epigenetic modulation

Mutations in genes such as APP, PSEN1/2, SNCA, LRRK2, HTT, SOD1, TARDBP, and C9orf72 predispose to familial forms of NDs [33]. Epigenetic alterations (DNA methylation, histone acetylation, noncoding RNAs) further regulate disease onset and progression [34]. Pharmacological epigenetic modulators histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors, DNA methyltransferase inhibitors, and microRNA mimics represent an emerging therapeutic class

[35]. These multi-layered mechanisms define the complex neuropharmacological landscape that guides screening strategies and therapeutic innovation.

3. Preclinical Screening in Neuropharmacology

3.1. Purpose and design principles

Preclinical screening serves as a bridge between molecular discovery and human trials. It evaluates pharmacodynamics, safety, and efficacy across in vitro and in vivo systems [36]. Successful translation demands model validity, reproducibility, appropriate controls, and statistically robust analysis [37].

The typical screening pipeline involves:

- 1. *In vitro* receptor binding and cell viability assays.
- 2. Pharmacokinetic profiling and BBB permeability assessment.
- 3. *In vivo* efficacy testing in validated animal models.
- 4. Correlation with molecular biomarkers and histological outcomes [38].

3.2. In vitro neuropharmacological assays

In vitro systems offer rapid, cost-effective insights into mechanism and toxicity. Examples include:

- Cellular toxicity assays: MTT, LDH release, and caspase activation in neuronal cell lines or induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) derived neurons [39].
- Receptor binding assays: Radioligand or fluorescence-based quantification of ligand–receptor affinity and selectivity [40].
- Electrophysiological recordings: Patch-clamp and multi-electrode arrays assess ion channel modulation by neuroactive compounds [41].
- Organotypic slice cultures and brain organoids: Enable evaluation of synaptic connectivity, electrophysiology, and neuroinflammation in human-relevant architectures [42].

Table 1. Major Neuropharmacological Targets Implicated in Neurodegenerative Diseases

Target System /	Function / Role	Pathological	Therapeutic
Receptor	runction / Role	Implication	Modulators / Drugs
Cholinergic	Cognitive processing	Impaired in	Donepezil,
(Muscarinic,	Cognitive processing, memory	Alzheimer's disease	Rivastigmine,
Nicotinic)		(AD)	Galantamine
Dopaminergic (D1–D5)	Motor control, reward	Degeneration of nigrostriatal neurons in Parkinson's disease (PD)	Levodopa, Ropinirole, Pramipexole

CADAgnaig	Inhibitory	Loss of inhibitory tone	Benzodiazepines,
GABAergic	neurotransmission	→ excitotoxicity	Tiagabine
Glutamatergic (NMDA, AMPA)	Learning and synaptic plasticity	Overactivation causes excitotoxic neuronal death	Memantine, Riluzole
Serotonergic (5- HT1A, 5-HT2A)	Mood regulation, cognition	Dysregulated in depression, PD	SSRIs, Buspirone
Adrenergic (α, β receptors)	Arousal, stress response	Imbalance linked to anxiety, cognitive decline	Propranolol, Atomoxetine
Histaminergic (H3 receptors)	Modulates arousal, cognition	Altered histamine tone in AD	Pitolisant
Endocannabinoid	Neuroprotection,	Altered signaling in	Cannabidiol, CB2
(CB1/CB2)	inflammation control	AD, MS	agonists
Adenosinergic (A2A)	Neuroinflammation modulation	Overactivation in PD	Istradefylline

3.3. In vivo behavioral screening

Behavioral paradigms translate neurochemical modulation into observable outcomes of motor, cognitive, and emotional function [43].

3.3.1. Motor coordination and balance

- Rotarod test: Measures latency to fall from a rotating rod, evaluating cerebellar and basal ganglia function; widely applied in PD and HD models [44].
- Open field test: Quantifies spontaneous locomotion, exploratory behavior, and anxiety-like responses [45].
- Grip strength and beam walk: Assess neuromuscular integrity, crucial for ALS and SMA studies [46].

3.3.2. Learning and memory

- Morris water maze (MWM): Gold standard for spatial learning; sensitive to hippocampal dysfunction [47].
- Novel object recognition (NOR): Evaluates recognition memory using innate exploratory preference.
- Y-maze/T-maze alternation: Tests working memory via spontaneous alternation behavior [48].

3.3.3. Anxiety and affective behaviour

• Elevated plus maze (EPM): Measures anxiety via open vs. closed arm exploration.

• Forced swim and tail suspension tests: Indicate depressive-like behavior and screen antidepressant potential [49].

3.3.4. Disease-specific paradigms

- Apomorphine-induced rotations: Quantifies dopaminergic imbalance after unilateral 6-OHDA lesions in PD models [44].
- Gait analysis: Monitors fine motor control and coordination deficits.
- Three-chamber social interaction test: Evaluates social behavior, relevant to frontotemporal dementia and autism-linked neurodegeneration [50].

Behavioral data should be analyzed by blinded observers with automated tracking systems, using appropriate statistical tools to ensure reliability.

4. Animal Models in Neurodegenerative Research

Animal models replicate key pathological and behavioral features of human neurodegenerative diseases (NDs), offering indispensable tools for preclinical neuropharmacological evaluation [51]. These models are broadly classified into neurotoxin-induced, transgenic/genetic, and lesion-based paradigms.

4.1. Alzheimer's disease (AD) models

- Chemical models: Administration of scopolamine impairs cholinergic transmission and induces transient cognitive deficits [52]. Streptozotocin (STZ) intracerebroventricular injection generates insulin-resistant brain states mimicking sporadic AD [53].
- Transgenic models: APP/PS1, 3xTg-AD, and Tg2576 mice overexpress mutant amyloid precursor protein (APP) and presentilin genes, leading to amyloid plaque deposition and tau pathology [54]. Behavioral deficits (memory loss, anxiety) and biochemical markers (A β 1–42, tau hyperphosphorylation) correlate strongly with human pathology [55].

4.2. Parkinson's disease (PD) models

- Neurotoxin-induced: 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) lesions selectively destroy nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons, producing unilateral rotational behavior [56].
 MPTP administration reproduces mitochondrial complex I inhibition and dopaminergic cell loss [57].
- Genetic models: *SNCA* and *LRRK2* transgenic mice demonstrate progressive α-synuclein accumulation and Lewy body-like pathology [58]. These models facilitate assessment of dopaminergic neuroprotectants, anti-inflammatory agents, and gene therapies.

4.3. Huntington's disease (HD) models

• Toxin-based models: Quinolinic acid or 3-nitropropionic acid induce selective striatal degeneration [59].

• Transgenic models: *R6/2* and *YAC128* mice express mutant huntingtin (mHTT) with expanded CAG repeats, displaying motor impairment and cognitive decline [60]. Screening focuses on mHTT aggregation inhibitors, mitochondrial protectants, and gene-silencing therapies.

4.4. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and other motor neuron disease models

The *SOD1-G93A* mouse model exhibits progressive motor weakness, axonal degeneration, and glutamate excitotoxicity resembling human ALS [61].

Emerging models utilize *TARDBP* and *FUS* mutations for frontotemporal-ALS spectrum studies [62].

4.5. Validation and translational value

While these models recapitulate individual disease aspects, none fully reproduces human complexity [63]. Hence, neuropharmacological screening relies on a combination of genetic and environmental models, supported by histopathology, neurochemical analysis, and advanced imaging (PET/MRI) [64].

5. Advanced Therapeutic Strategies in Neurodegenerative Diseases

Rapid advances in molecular neuroscience and biotechnology have revolutionized neurotherapeutic design, transitioning from symptomatic to disease-modifying strategies.

Table 2. Commonly Used Animal Models for Neurodegenerative Disease Screening

Disease	Experimental Model /	Behavioral /	Key Applications
	Induction Method	Biochemical	
		Assessment	
Alzheimer's	Scopolamine-induced	Morris water maze,	Screening of memory
Disease (AD)	amnesia, Transgenic	Y-maze, AChE	enhancers, anti-amyloid
	APP/PS1 mice	activity, β-amyloid	agents
		quantification	
Parkinson's	6-OHDA or MPTP	Rotarod, open-field,	Dopaminergic
Disease (PD)	lesion models	dopamine assay	neuroprotection
			screening
Huntington's	3-Nitropropionic acid	Motor coordination,	Glutamate antagonists,
Disease (HD)	(3-NP), R6/2	striatal degeneration	mitochondrial stabilizers
	transgenic mice		

Amyotrophic	SOD1-G93A	Grip	strength,	Neuroprotective	and
Lateral Sclerosis	transgenic mice	survival cu	rve, motor	anti-inflammatory	
(ALS)		neuron histology		agents	
Multiple	Experimental	Clinical	scoring,	Immunomodulators	,
Sclerosis (MS)	autoimmune	cytokine	assay,	neuroprotective drug	gs
	encephalomyelitis	myelin staining			
	(EAE)				

5.1. Gene therapy

Gene therapy introduces or corrects genetic information using viral or nonviral vectors. **Adeno-associated viruses (AAVs)** are widely used due to their neuronal tropism and safety profile [65].

- In PD, AAV-mediated delivery of aromatic L-amino acid decarboxylase (AADC) enhances dopamine synthesis [66].
- In SMA, *onasemnogene abeparvovec* (Zolgensma®) delivers a functional *SMN1* gene, dramatically improving survival [67].
- CRISPR-Cas9 editing allows precise correction of pathogenic mutations in *SOD1* (ALS) and *HTT* (HD) [68].

5.2. Antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs)

ASOs are short, synthetic nucleic acid sequences that modulate RNA expression through degradation or splicing correction [69].

- *Nusinersen* (Spinraza®) for SMA and *tofersen* for *SOD1*-ALS exemplify clinically approved ASO therapies [70].
- Preclinical trials show promise in reducing mutant huntingtin and tau mRNA levels [71].

Chemical modifications (2'-O-methoxyethyl, phosphorothioate backbones) enhance ASO stability and CNS penetration [72].

5.3. Stem cell and regenerative therapy

Stem cell-based interventions aim to replace lost neurons and restore synaptic circuits [73]. **Embryonic stem cells (ESCs)** and **induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs)** differentiate into dopaminergic or cholinergic neurons [74]. Transplantation of iPSC-derived dopaminergic neurons into PD models improves motor function and dopamine release [75]. Challenges include immune rejection, tumorigenesis, and integration efficacy [76].

5.4. Immunotherapy and monoclonal antibodies

- **Passive immunization** employs monoclonal antibodies to clear pathological proteins. *Aducanumab* and *donanemab* target A β aggregates, while *prasinezumab* targets α -synuclein [77].
- **Active immunization** (vaccination) stimulates endogenous antibody production but carries risks of neuroinflammation [78].
- Recent bispecific antibodies target multiple epitopes, improving clearance efficiency [79].

5.5. Small molecules and repurposed drugs

Neuroprotective small molecules remain vital for affordability and oral delivery. Examples include **ambroxol** (glucocerebrosidase activator in PD), **nilotinib** (autophagy inducer), and **metformin** (AMPK activator with neuroprotective effects) [80,81]. Drug repurposing accelerates clinical translation by leveraging established pharmacokinetics [82].

5.6. Neuromodulation and brain-targeted delivery

- Deep brain stimulation (DBS) of the subthalamic nucleus or globus pallidus alleviates motor symptoms in PD [83].
- Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) and transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) modulate cortical excitability and plasticity in depression and AD [84].
- Focused ultrasound (FUS) with microbubbles transiently opens the blood brain barrier (BBB), enabling targeted delivery of drugs, genes, or antibodies [85].

VOLUME 24 : ISSUE 10 (Oct) - 2025

Page No:125

Table 3. Advanced Molecular and Cellular Approaches in Neurodegenerative Therapy

Therapeutic	Mechanism of Action	Current Research /	Clinical
Strategy		Example	Status
Gene Therapy	Delivery of functional	AAV2-GDNF for PD;	Several in
	genes to replace	AAV9-SMN1 for ALS	phase II/III
	defective ones		trials
Stem Cell Therapy	Replacement of	iPSC-derived	Early clinical
	degenerated neurons	dopaminergic neurons	trials
CRISPR/Cas9	Correction of disease-	Targeting HTT gene in	Preclinical
Genome Editing	causing mutations	HD	
Nanoparticle-	Enhanced BBB	Liposomal curcumin,	Preclinical to
Mediated Drug	penetration and	polymeric nanoparticles	phase I
Delivery	sustained release		
Neurotrophic Factor	Enhancement of	BDNF, NGF, GDNF	Clinical
Therapy	neuronal survival	administration	evaluation
Immunotherapy	Clearance of toxic	Anti-Aβ monoclonal	Approved for
(Active/Passive)	protein aggregates	antibodies	AD
		(Aducanumab)	
Mitochondrial	Prevention of oxidative	Coenzyme Q10,	Clinical trials
Protective Agents	stress	MitoQ, nicotinamide	
		riboside	
RNA-Based Therapy	Silencing of mutant	Tofersen for SOD1-	FDA approved
(siRNA, ASO)	genes	ALS	(2023)

6. Translational Challenges and Regulatory Considerations

Despite remarkable preclinical success, translation of neuropharmacological findings into effective therapies remains difficult.

6.1. Blood-brain barrier (BBB)

The BBB restricts entry of most large molecules and hydrophilic drugs. Nanocarriers, exosomes, and receptor-mediated transcytosis systems (transferrin, insulin, or LRP1 ligands) are being explored to enhance CNS delivery [86].

6.2. Biomarker limitations

Reliable biomarkers for disease progression and treatment response are lacking. Advances in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) proteomics, neurofilament light chain (NfL) quantification, and PET tracers for tau/α -synuclein are promising but not universally validated [87].

6.3. Model-human divergence

Rodent models often fail to replicate human disease complexity and timescales [88]. Human iPSC-derived neurons and organoids now serve as adjunct platforms bridging the translational gap [89].

6.4. Ethical and regulatory framework

Gene and stem cell therapies pose unique ethical challenges. Regulatory agencies (FDA, EMA) mandate long-term safety and post-marketing surveillance [90]. Ethical consent, off-target analysis, and equitable access remain paramount [91].

Table 4. Comparative Overview of Conventional and Emerging Drug Therapies

Category	Example Drugs /	Mechanistic Target	Therapeutic
	Agents		Limitations
Cholinesterase	Donepezil,	AChE inhibition $\rightarrow \uparrow$	Symptomatic relief
Inhibitors	Rivastigmine	ACh	only
NMDA Receptor	Memantine	Inhibition of	Limited cognitive
Antagonists		excitotoxic glutamate	benefit
		signaling	
Dopamine Precursors	Levodopa,	Restores dopaminergic	Motor fluctuations,
/ Agonists	Pramipexole	tone	dyskinesia
Neuroprotective	Selegiline, Riluzole	Reduces oxidative	Partial efficacy
Agents		stress	
Monoclonal	Aducanumab,	Amyloid clearance	Controversial
Antibodies	Lecanemab		efficacy, high cost
Stem Cell Therapies	hESCs, iPSCs	Cell replacement	Ethical and safety
			concerns
Gene / RNA	AAV, ASO	Genetic correction	Delivery and
Therapies	platforms		immune response
			issues
Nanomedicine	Polymeric/lipid	Targeted brain delivery	Regulatory
Approaches	nanoparticles		challenges

7. Future Perspectives

The convergence of **neuropharmacology, genomics, and precision medicine** is transforming ND research. Artificial intelligence (AI) accelerates drug discovery via predictive modeling of ligand–target interactions [92]. Multi-omics integration genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics—enables personalized treatment design [93]. Combination therapies, integrating neuroprotective small molecules with gene or cell-based interventions, are expected to yield synergistic benefits [94].

Page No:127

Non-invasive neuromodulation, nanotechnology-driven delivery, and closed-loop DBS systems further promise individualized therapy with real-time feedback [95]. Ultimately, collaborative translational frameworks uniting academic, clinical, and regulatory sectors will be essential for clinical success [96].

8. Conclusions

Neuropharmacology has evolved from receptor pharmacodynamics to a systems-level understanding of neuronal survival, signaling, and plasticity. Preclinical screening models, though imperfect, remain vital in deciphering molecular pathophysiology and assessing candidate therapeutics. The integration of gene therapy, ASOs, stem cell transplantation, and neuromodulation defines a new era of disease-modifying interventions for neurodegenerative disorders. Future progress will depend on overcoming BBB barriers, refining biomarkers, and ensuring ethical, equitable access to innovative therapies. As neuropharmacology embraces personalized and regenerative paradigms, the prospect of genuine neurorestoration becomes increasingly attainable.

Conflict of interest: None

Acknowledgement: None to acknowledge

Reference:

- 1. Przedborski S, Vila M. The pathogenesis of neurodegeneration in Parkinson's disease. *Trends Neurosci.* 2003;26(10):578–586.
- 2. Nichols E, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of Alzheimer's disease and other dementias, 1990–2019. *Lancet Neurol.* 2022;21(10):795–820.
- 3. Soto C, Pritzkow S. Protein misfolding, aggregation, and conformational strains in neurodegenerative diseases. *Nat Neurosci.* 2018;21(10):1332–1340.
- 4. Heneka MT, et al. Neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet Neurol*. 2015;14(4):388–405.
- 5. Cummings JL. Alzheimer's disease. N Engl J Med. 2004;351(1):56–67.
- 6. Jankovic J. Parkinson's disease: clinical features and diagnosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2008;79(4):368–376.
- 7. Rang HP, et al. Rang & Dale's Pharmacology. 9th ed. Elsevier; 2020.
- 8. Muller WE, et al. Neuropharmacology of aging and neurodegeneration. *Pharmacol Ther.* 2021;222:107785.
- 9. Crawley JN. Behavioral phenotyping of transgenic and knockout mice. *Brain Res.* 2008;1233:29–42.
- 10. Tabrizi SJ, et al. Emerging therapies for Huntington's disease. *Lancet Neurol*. 2020;19(10):930–946.
- 11. Mendell JR, et al. Gene therapy for spinal muscular atrophy: clinical efficacy and safety. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384:705–715.

12. Albright CF, et al. Advances in antisense oligonucleotide therapeutics for neurodegenerative diseases. *Nat Rev Neurol.* 2022;18(8):485–500.

- 13. Schliebs R, Arendt T. The cholinergic system in aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Behav Brain Res.* 2011;221(2):555–563.
- 14. Dauer W, Przedborski S. Parkinson's disease: mechanisms and models. *Neuron*. 2003;39(6):889–909.
- 15. Dong XX, Wang Y, Qin ZH. Molecular mechanisms of excitotoxicity and their relevance to pathogenesis of neurodegenerative diseases. *Acta Pharmacol Sin*. 2009;30(4):379–387.
- 16. Birks JS. Cholinesterase inhibitors for Alzheimer's disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2018;(3):CD005593.
- 17. Schapira AH, et al. Novel pharmacological approaches to Parkinson's disease. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2023;22(1):1–25.
- 18. Parsons CG, et al. Memantine: a NMDA receptor antagonist with neuroprotective properties. *CNS Drug Rev.* 1999;5(3):185–206.
- 19. Jenner P. Molecular mechanisms of L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2008;9(9):665–677.
- 20. Lin MT, Beal MF. Mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress in neurodegenerative diseases. *Nature*. 2006;443(7113):787–795.
- 21. Exner N, et al. Mitochondrial dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2012;1822(5):653–664.
- 22. Manczak M, Reddy PH. Mitochondrial antioxidants and neuroprotection. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2012;52(3):427–437.
- 23. Chaturvedi RK, Flint Beal M. Targeting oxidative stress in neurodegeneration. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* 2013;34(11):569–578.
- 24. Selkoe DJ, Hardy J. The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2016;8(6):595–608.
- 25. Ciechanover A, Kwon YT. Degradation of misfolded proteins in neurodegenerative diseases. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2015;1849(7):857–870.
- 26. Sarkar S, Rubinsztein DC. Autophagy in neurodegeneration. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2008;9(9):723–733.
- 27. Sevigny J, et al. Aducanumab and the clinical trial landscape. *Nature*. 2016;537(7618):50–56.
- 28. Streit WJ, et al. Microglial activation in neurodegenerative diseases. *Glia.* 2020;68(5):908–924.
- 29. Heneka MT, et al. Neuroinflammatory mechanisms in Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2014;14(6):463–477.
- 30. Block ML, et al. Inflammation and microglial activation. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2007;8(1):57–69.
- 31. Franco R, et al. Targeting microglial purinergic signaling in neurodegeneration. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* 2019;40(2):95–109.
- 32. Deczkowska A, et al. Microglial states and fates in neurodegeneration. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2018;19(10):547–559.

33. Guerreiro R, Hardy J. Genetic pathways to neurodegeneration. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2014;10(6):329–342.

- 34. Gräff J, Tsai LH. Epigenetic regulation in neurodegenerative disorders. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2013;14(11):748–759.
- 35. Kilgore M, et al. Epigenetic modulation in neuroprotection. *Neuropharmacology*. 2010;58(4–5):911–920.
- 36. Dunnett SB, et al. Animal models of neurodegenerative disorders. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2014;15(11):688–701.
- 37. Justice MJ, Dhillon P. Using the mouse to model human disease. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2016;17(9):585–597.
- 38. Herrling PL. Preclinical research in neuroscience drug discovery. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2017;174(23):4225–4249.
- 39. Behl C, Ziegler C. Cell culture models of neurodegeneration. *Trends Mol Med.* 2017;23(8):555–568.
- 40. Kenakin T. Receptor theory in pharmacology. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2014;350(2):342–350.
- 41. Spira ME, Hai A. Multi-electrode array technologies. *Nat Nanotechnol*. 2013;8(2):83–94.
- 42. Quadrato G, Arlotta P. Brain organoids in disease modeling. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2017;18(10):573–584.
- 43. Crawley JN. Behavioral phenotyping strategies. *Neuropharmacology*. 2012;62(2):638–649.
- 44. Ungerstedt U, Arbuthnott GW. Quantitative recording of rotational behavior in rats. *Brain Res.* 1970;24(3):485–493.
- 45. Prut L, Belzung C. Open field test review. *Behav Brain Res.* 2003;125(1–2):43–49.
- 46. Aartsma-Rus A, et al. Functional assays for neuromuscular disease models. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2019;1950:343–360.
- 47. Vorhees CV, Williams MT. Morris water maze learning and memory. *Nat Protoc.* 2006;1(2):848–858.
- 48. Hughes RN. The value of spontaneous alternation behavior. *Behav Brain Res.* 2004;152(2):319–328.
- 49. Cryan JF, et al. Using behavioral tests to assess depression-related behavior in rodents. *Nat Protoc.* 2005;1(2):422–428.
- 50. Moy SS, et al. Social behavior assessment in mice. *Genes Brain Behav*. 2004;3(5):287–302.
- 51. Dawson TM, et al. Animal models of neurodegeneration. *Nat Neurosci*. 2018;21(10):1370–1379.
- 52. Bartus RT, et al. Scopolamine models of cognitive impairment. *Science*. 1982;217(4559):408–414.
- 53. Sharma M, et al. Streptozotocin-induced sporadic AD model. *J Neurosci Methods*. 2018;300:188–198.
- 54. Jankowsky JL, Zheng H. APP transgenic mouse models. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2017;18(10):585–601.

55. Bilkei-Gorzo A. Behavioral correlates in AD transgenic mice. *Behav Brain Res.* 2018;352:132–142.

56. Sauer H, Oertel WH. 6-OHDA model of Parkinson's disease. *Neuroscience*. 1994;59(2):401