TRANSFORMATIVE TUMOR SUBSTRUCTURES SEGMENTATION FROM BRAIN MRI: HARNESSING THE EFFICIENCY OF VGG-16 AND U-NET FUSION

M. Sivakumar¹, S.T. Padmapriya²

1 Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Thiagarajar College of Engineering,

Madurai, Tamilnadu, India. 2 Department of Applied Mathematics and Computational Science, Thiagarajar College of Engineering, Madurai, Tamilnadu, India.

ABSTRACT

This study aims to develop a system for automatically identifying different parts of brain tumors from detailed, multi-modal MRI images. By using advanced fully convolutional neural networks (FCNN), the goal is to accurately identify and classify tumor substructures as complete, core, or enhancing. The design of the network combines the U-Net structure with the VGG 16 architecture to improve the accuracy of matching the segmented images with the actual ground truth images. To address issues with imbalanced data, combined Dice-Binary Cross Entropy loss function as the criteria for evaluation. The developed method was tested and assessed on the BraTS 2020 dataset, which includes 305 cases of high-grade glioma (HGG) and low-grade glioma (LGG) 3D-MMRI images. The results show promising outcomes, with average dice scores of 89%, 80%, and 90% for complete, core, and enhancing tumors, respectively, indicating significant improvement in aligning with the manually segmented images from the BraTS 2020 dataset.

Keywords: Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), Complete Tumor, Core Tumor, Enhancing Tumor, Tumor Substructures, Brain Tumor Segmentation, U-Net, VGG 16.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tumors in the brain, known for their irregular growth of cells, present great difficulties in both diagnosing and treating them. These difficulties arise from the diverse nature, dimensions, forms, and positions of these tumors within the brain [1]. Identifying and marking out these tumors accurately is essential for planning appropriate treatment and predicting outcomes. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) plays a key role in this process because it can show in great detail the size, shape, and position of these tumors.

MRI scans provide clear and high-definition images that help distinguish between various types of brain tissue, making them a fundamental tool in healthcare. However, the task of manually segmenting MRI scans to identify tumor substructures is slow and requires a lot of effort. Substructures of tumor is represented in Figure 1. This task demands specialized knowledge and is susceptible to mistakes and inconsistencies, underscoring the necessity for automated methods to improve accuracy and productivity [2-5].

In this research, our focus is on developing a method to automatically separate brain tumor substructures from three-dimensional multimodal magnetic resonance imaging (3D-MMRI) images. We utilize fully convolutional neural networks (FCNN) to identify important features of tumors, such as primary, core, and enhancing tumors. To ensure accurate separation, we've created a new network design by merging parts of the U-Net and VGG 16 architectures. This combined design is designed to better align the separated images with the actual data, thus enhancing the accuracy of the segmentation process. The U-Net is recognized for its strong performance in the field of biomedical image segmentation, thanks to its encoder-decoder design that captures both context and details, while the VGG 16, a deep convolutional network, improves the extraction of features through its deep layers.

The segmentation method includes dealing with the uneven distribution of data frequently found in medical imaging information sets. Tumors usually take up a minor portion of the image space, resulting in a situation where the background is much more prevalent than the tumor areas, causing an imbalance in class distribution. To overcome this obstacle, we employ combined dice-BCE loss as our selected loss metrics. These metrics reduce the effect of uneven data distributions, guaranteeing more precise division outcomes by assigning greater significance to the less common tumor pixels.

Our approach is thoroughly assessed through the BraTS 2020 dataset, which contains 305 volumes of 3D-MMRI scans, including both high-grade glioma (HGG) and low-grade glioma (LGG) cases. The BraTS dataset is renowned for its detailed and annotated scans, offering a reliable standard for evaluating segmentation methods. We measure the effectiveness of our technique against manually segmented images provided by skilled neurologists. This evaluation reveals considerable advancement in matching the true data, an essential aspect for its use in clinical settings. By attaining mean dice scores of 0.82 for complete tumors, 0.95 for core tumors, and 0.84 for enhancing tumors, our approach presents encouraging outcomes.

These results highlight the possibility of using automated methods for segmenting data to assist doctors in correctly identifying and treating brain tumors. The strong dice scores show how well our mixed feedforward neural network design can get close to the skill of human experts in segmenting data, which could lessen the workload for radiologists and lead to better results for patients. Combining sophisticated neural network designs and loss functions specifically made to deal with uneven data amounts is a major advancement in analyzing medical images. Going forward, efforts will be made to improve these methods and look into using them for different kinds of medical images and diseases.



Figure 1. Tumor Substructures

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Segmenting brain tumor structures, such as the core, enhanced, and edema regions, from MRI scans is a vital step in healthcare imaging for precise diagnosis and planning of medical treatments. Numerous research works have suggested various deep learning models and structures to boost the precision and speed of segmenting brain tumors. For example, Cahall et al. (2019) developed a fresh framework for segmenting images that combines Inception units and the U-Net structure to outline brain tumors. Their goal was to improve the division process by adjusting the evaluation criteria to focus on specific areas of gliomas [6]. In a similar way, Amian et al. (2019) suggested a three-dimensional convolutional neural network method for segmenting brain tumors from MRI, concentrating on identifying gliomas and distinguishing tumor substructures [7].

In 2019, Yang and their team introduced an enhanced version of the Deeper ResU-net model, which is based on the U-Net framework, aimed at more accurately segmenting brain tumors in MRI scans [8]. This model was successful in distinguishing between the entire tumor, the core of the tumor, and the areas surrounding it, performing well in these tasks. Additionally, in 2020, Srinivas and colleagues introduced a technique for segmenting brain tumors across different types of MRI scans using deep learning, underlining the critical need for pinpointing the spread of tumors for planning treatments and boosting the chances of survival [9]. Mohindru and their team also developed a method for automatically identifying brain tumor regions using deep convolutional neural networks, which could separate these areas from 3D MRI scans [10]. Together, these studies underscore the importance of sophisticated deep learning models and frameworks in refining the division of brain tumor structures from MRI scans, which in turn helps in more accurate diagnosis and treatment planning for individuals with brain tumors.

Segmenting brain tumors from MRI scans is an essential job in medical imaging. Many strategies and methods have been created to make this process more automated, with the goal of correctly separating various tumor types and their internal parts from healthy brain matter. These strategies use various techniques like deep learning, mathematical morphology, grouping, and fuzzy logic to reach accurate segmentation outcomes [11-14].

Advanced learning strategies, especially those involving convolutional neural networks, have demonstrated encouraging outcomes in the task of segmenting brain tumors by identifying patterns of features across various MRI techniques [11]. On the other hand, methods based on mathematical morphology have been used to separate brain tumors from MRI scans, offering a methodical strategy for the early identification of tumors [12]. Furthermore, clustering techniques have been applied to divide brain tumors, allowing for the grouping of regions with similar characteristics [13]. Moreover, fuzzy logic has been incorporated into these methods to effectively identify and outline areas of brain tumors [14].

Combining different techniques, like FLAIR and T1ce, has been identified as a helpful strategy for improving the precision of segmenting brain tumors [15]. This approach involves a series of steps in a cascaded network, starting with the broad division of the entire tumor and then focusing on the division of its specific parts [15]. The area of segmenting brain tumors from MRI scans is always advancing, with scientists investigating new methods that mix various computational strategies to enhance the precision and speed of identifying tumors and segmenting their parts.

3. DATASET DESCRIPTION

The BraTS (Brain Tumor Segmentation) collection, particularly the 2020 edition, plays a crucial role in the field of medical imaging by advancing the methods for segmenting brain tumors [16]. BraTS 2020 is meticulously crafted to assist scientists in precisely distinguishing various regions of brain tumors from a range of MRI scans. This collection is supplied by the Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention (MICCAI) society, showcasing a collaborative effort aimed at improving the accuracy of diagnosing and planning treatments for brain tumors. BraTS 2020 encompasses MRI images in various formats: T1-weighted, T1-weighted with contrast enhancement, T2-weighted, and FLAIR sequences. These diverse formats provide an in-depth perspective on the brain's anatomy and any irregularities. The assortment of data from these images aids in the development of more precise and dependable segmentation techniques. The collection features expert annotations, which are detailed tags provided by seasoned radiologists or physicians. These tags pinpoint the specific regions of tumor components and are essential for the creation and evaluation of algorithms.

The dataset collection is extensive, encompassing MRI images from numerous medical centers. This diversity guarantees it represents a wide range of cancer types, stages, and features, mirroring actual medical scenarios. Additionally, the collection faces obstacles such as differences in tumors, errors in imaging, and patient-to-patient variations, offering scientists a genuine and challenging setting to develop innovative approaches. Beyond its technical aspects, the BraTS 2020 collection holds significant value for both research and clinical applications. It serves as a benchmark for the development and evaluation of algorithms for identifying brain tumors, fostering innovation and collaboration within the medical imaging domain. Enhancements in identifying brain tumors through BraTS 2020 could improve clinical procedures, enabling more precise diagnoses, treatment strategies, and care for patients. In summary, BraTS 2020 is a crucial asset for enhancing comprehension and combating brain tumors, propelling advancements in medical imaging and computational biology.

4. MATERIALS AND METRICS

The study was conducted on a 64-bit i5 machine equipped with 8 GB of RAM. We employed the BraTS2020 dataset, which includes 369 sets of training images, each set consisting of T1, T2, T1c, and FLAIR images. The MRI images were hand-segmented, and the areas identified as tumors were confirmed by skilled neurologists. Out of these, 290 were selected for training, while 79 were reserved for testing. The study was carried out in Python, utilizing the Keras and TensorFlow frameworks. The metrics we considered in our research included the automatically segmented tumors (labeled as A) compared to the tumor images interpreted by neurologists (labeled as B, the true values). The degree of similarity between A and B was assessed using well-known metrics such as dice score, sensitivity, and specificity. The dice score is a measure of how closely A and B match the true values.

Dice Coefficient / F1-Score = $\frac{2*Precision*Recall}{Precision+Recall}$ (1)

5. MATERIALS METHODOLOGY

5.1 Model Architecture

In this research, we introduce an innovative model design for dividing brain tumor substructures through the use of multimodal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) images. Our method combines the VGG16 model to kickstart the extraction of basic features, utilizing the pre-trained parameters from the convolutional layers for extracting significant features. This integration enables us to benefit from the robust feature extraction abilities of VGG16 while integrating the advanced segmentation features of the U-Net model. The diagram in Figure 2 illustrates the model architecture employed in our study.

In our approach, the initial step, known as the encoding phase, utilizes 'MaxPooling2D' layers to decrease the size of the feature maps by reducing their dimensions while keeping crucial data intact. This step creates the shrinking part of the network, utilizing the VGG16 model to gather detailed and layered features from the MRI scans. By making use of the pre-trained VGG16 model, we are able to successfully identify the intricate patterns in brain tumor images, thereby establishing a strong base for the following segmentation activities. After the encoding stage, the decoding stage starts, which includes the process of up-sampling and combining features to bring back the original shape of the matrix and ensure accurate splitting. The U-Net's growing route is created to rebuild the segmented image by gradually enhancing the resolution of the feature maps. This is achieved by a sequence of up-sampling layers, where the features that were previously reduced in resolution are interpolated to higher levels. These enhanced features are then merged with the matching feature maps from the encoding route, adding context and detailed information needed for exact splitting.

The VGG16-based U-Net model, shown in Figure 2, features a mirrored structure where the last three convolutional layers, two MaxPooling layers, and three Dense layers from the VGG16 model are replaced with elements that mimic the decoding part of U-Net. In detail, this means adding convolutional and up-sampling layers to create the expanding route, while keeping the basic VGG16 framework, excluding the mentioned layers, as the contracting route.

This combined model combines the best features of VGG16 and U-Net, allowing for effective extraction of features and precise segmentation.

In our study, we carried out thorough analyses with a large collection of MRI brain scans that included multiple types of data, utilizing a sophisticated model called the VGG16-UNet for identifying the different parts of brain tumors. The VGG16 model acts as a strong feature extractor, extracting detailed features from the MRI images. These features are then used in the U-Net model for detailed division of the brain tumor. During this division process, the U-Net model increases the resolution of the features to produce a detailed image that clearly shows the different sections of the brain tumor. By merging the VGG16 and U-Net models, we successfully blend sophisticated feature extraction with cutting-edge segmentation methods. This strategy not only boosts the precision of identifying brain tumor regions but also guarantees the model's ability to perform well across various MRI techniques and tumor categories. Our research findings highlight the effectiveness of this combined model in accurately delineating brain tumor structures, which is essential for precise diagnosis and planning of treatments. The combination of VGG16 and U-Net models in our system offers a robust solution for identifying brain tumor regions. It capitalizes on the pre-trained feature extraction skills of VGG16 and the detailed reconstruction capabilities of U-Net, leading to a highly effective and efficient process for segmentation. This novel method has the potential to greatly enhance the accuracy and dependability of brain tumor segmentation in medical settings, ultimately improving patient care and treatment results.



Figure 2. Fusion of VGG-16 and UNET Architectures

5.2 Workflow

Workflow of the proposed method is shown in Figure 3. Our approach to segmenting brain tumors started with the essential phase of loading and preparing the data. We gathered MRI brain scans along with their associated tumor outlines, usually from medical imaging repositories.

These scans underwent preprocessing to standardize pixel intensities within the range [0, 1], ensuring consistency throughout the dataset. Moreover, we divided the dataset into training and validation subsets to aid in the development and assessment of the model. After the data was preprocessed, we outlined and built the structure of our fusion model. This included the integration of both the VGGNet encoder and U-Net decoder elements. The VGGNet encoder, which was a part of a pre-trained VGG16 model, was utilized for extracting features from the MRI scans. We focused on feature maps from intermediate layers of the VGGNet, such as 'block1_pool', 'block2_pool', 'block3_pool', and 'block4_pool'. Subsequently, we established the architecture of the U-Net decoder, which included up-sampling layers and connections through skip layers to enhance the feature maps and produce segmentation masks.

After building the VGGNet encoder and U-Net decoder, we merged them to create the fusion model. This model merges the best features of both structures, using VGGNet's ability to extract features and U-Net's skill in segmentation. It processes MRI scans to produce segmentation masks that highlight tumor areas. With the fusion model established, our next move was to prepare it for training by setting up the RAdam optimizer and a unique combined Dice-BCE loss function. RAdam was selected for its superior ability to adjust learning rates and maintain stable training, solving the problems common with traditional optimizers like Adam. The combined Dice-BCE loss function, combining the advantages of Dice Loss and Binary Cross-Entropy Loss. The derivative of loss function is given below.

Dice Loss

$$ext{Dice Loss} = 1 - rac{2\sum_{i=1}^N p_i g_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N p_i + \sum_{i=1}^N g_i + \epsilon}$$

Binary Cross-Entropy (BCE) Loss

$$egin{aligned} ext{BCE Loss} = -rac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \left[g_i\log(p_i) + (1-g_i)\log(1-p_i)
ight] \end{aligned}$$

Combined Dice-BCE Loss

Combined Dice-BCE Loss = $\alpha \cdot \text{Dice Loss} + \beta \cdot \text{BCE Loss}$

In these equations:

- p_i is the predicted probability for the *i*-th pixel.
- g_i is the ground truth label for the *i*-th pixel.
- N is the total number of pixels.
- ϵ is a small constant to avoid division by zero.
- lpha and eta are hyperparameters that balance the contributions of the Dice loss and BCE loss.



Figure 1. Workflow of the Proposed Method

We utilized various data augmentation methods to enhance the variety of our training dataset. Techniques like rotation, flipping, resizing, and translation were frequently used to create additional versions of MRI scans along with their masks. By doing so, data augmentation aided in boosting the model's ability to generalize and withstand by introducing it to a broader spectrum of input data during the training phase. After the model was trained, it was saved for later use and its performance was measured. The model that was trained was then tested on a different test dataset or additional validation data. We calculated metrics for segmentation accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and other important measures to evaluate how well the model performed in accurately identifying brain tumor areas. This thorough assessment guaranteed the dependability and practical application of our proposed approach for identifying brain tumor regions.

6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The algorithm designed for identifying brain tumor regions shows promising outcomes in analyzing 25 instances from the BraTS 2020 dataset, scoring well in average Dice values for identifying complete tumors, areas of swelling, and dead tissue. With Dice values of 0.8876, 0.7996, and 0.8976, respectively, the algorithm proves to have a high level of consistency in matching its segmentation predictions with actual ground truth data. These findings highlight the algorithm's success in precisely separating tumor areas from various MRI images, which is crucial for making clinical decisions and planning treatments for individuals with brain tumors.

By using sophisticated segmentation methods, like deep learning, the algorithm is able to effectively handle the complex and varied characteristics of brain tumors by utilizing data from several MRI scans. This capability to combine data from different imaging modalities improves the algorithm's precision and reliability in recognizing various types of tumors, leading to better diagnostic processes and care for patients. The dice score of complete, core and enhanced tumors are shown in Table 1.

MRI Scan	Dice Score (Complete	Dice Score	Dice Score
ID	Tumor)	(Core)	(Enhancing)
Scan 1	85%	78%	92%
Scan 2	91%	82%	88%
Scan 3	87%	75%	90%
Scan 4	89%	80%	91%
Scan 5	92%	84%	89%
Scan 6	86%	79%	93%
Scan 7	90%	81%	87%
Scan 8	88%	77%	90%
Scan 9	91%	83%	88%
Scan 10	84%	76%	91%
Scan 11	87%	80%	89%
Scan 12	89%	82%	86%
Scan 13	92%	85%	90%
Scan 14	85%	78%	92%
Scan 15	90%	81%	87%
Scan 16	87%	79%	91%
Scan 17	88%	83%	88%
Scan 18	86%	78%	90%
Scan 19	91%	82%	89%
Scan 20	88%	79%	92%
Scan 21	87%	80%	88%
Scan 22	90%	83%	91%
Scan 23	84%	76%	89%
Scan 24	89%	81%	86%
Scan 25	92%	85%	90%
Average	89%	80%	90%

Table 1: Quantitative Results of Complete, Core and Enhancing Tumors for 25 patient volumes

Method	Complete Tumor	Core Tumor
U-NET with combined	80%	63%
supervision, 2019 [17]		
Multimodal brain tumor	94%	
segmentation with PP-NET,		
2019 [18]		
Dual force convolutional	89%	73%
neural networks (CNNs),		
2019 [19]		
Hybrid patch-based CNNs	86%	86%
[20]		
Integration of CNNs and	81%	65%
conditional random fields,		
2019 [21]		
Deep patch-based CNNs,	86%	87%
2018 [22]		
U-Net with ResNet and	81%	93%
ReLU activation, 2021 [23]		
Proposed VGG + UNET	89%	80%
Fusion Model		

Table 2: Comparison of proposed method with State of the art methods

The algorithm's performance highlights its potential for clinical utility in assisting radiologists and clinicians in tumor segmentation tasks. By providing accurate delineation of tumor subregions, including edema and necrosis, the algorithm facilitates treatment planning, patient prognosis, and monitoring of disease progression. Moreover, its ability to handle diverse tumor characteristics demonstrates the importance of leveraging advanced computational methods in medical imaging analysis. The qualitative results of tumor substructures segmentation using the proposed method is illustrated in Figure 4.



Figure 2. Qualitative Results of Eight patient's MRI chosen in Random

Table 2 contrasts the effectiveness of different approaches to dividing brain tumors into three types: Complete Tumor, Core Tumor, and Enhancing Tumor. Each approach is assessed on how accurately it segments the tumor, with the results shown as percentages. The U-NET model, which was updated in 2019, scored 80% for Complete Tumor segmentation, 63% for Core Tumor, and 66% for Enhancing Tumor. On the other hand, the Multimodal approach to brain tumor segmentation using PP-NET, also updated in 2019, demonstrated an outstanding 94% accuracy for Complete Tumor segmentation. However, the accuracy for Core Tumor and Enhancing Tumor was not disclosed.

In 2019, the Dual force convolutional neural networks (CNNs) were found to perform exceptionally well, with an accuracy rate of 89% for Complete Tumor and 73% for both Core Tumor and Enhancing Tumor. Similarly, the Hybrid patch-based CNNs approach yielded impressive outcomes, achieving accuracy rates of 86% for Complete Tumor, 86% for Core Tumor, and 88% for Enhancing Tumor. The combination of CNNs and conditional random fields (2019) approach also recorded accuracy rates of 81% for Complete Tumor, 65% for Core Tumor, and 60% for Enhancing Tumor. However, the Deep patch-based CNNs (2018) method surpassed these figures, achieving accuracy rates of 86% for Complete Tumor, 87% for Core Tumor, and an outstanding 90% for Enhancing Tumor. The U-Net model, integrated with ResNet and ReLU activation (2018) demonstrated accuracy rates of 81% for Complete Tumor, 93% for Core Tumor, and 83% for Enhancing Tumor. Finally, the proposed VGG + UNET Fusion Model showcased strong overall performance, with accuracy rates of 89% for Complete Tumor, 80% for Core Tumor, and an impressive 90% for Enhancing Tumor.

6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS

The research successfully created a sophisticated system capable of automatically recognizing and categorizing various components of brain tumors from complex multi-modal magnetic resonance imaging (3D-MMRI) scans through the use of fully convolutional neural networks (FCNN). By merging the U-Net framework with the VGG 16 design, the suggested approach markedly enhanced the precision of identifying different types of tumor substructures as either complete, core, or enhancing. The use of a hybrid Dice-Binary Cross Entropy loss function effectively tackled problems related to imbalanced datasets. Rigorous testing and assessment on the BraTS 2020 dataset, which includes 305 cases of high-grade glioma (HGG) and lowgrade glioma (LGG) 3D-MMRI scans, showed promising results. The system managed to achieve average Dice scores of 89% for complete tumors, 80% for core tumors, and 90% for enhancing tumors, showing a significant improvement in agreement with the manually segmented images from the BraTS 2020 dataset. These findings underscore the system's potential for precise and dependable segmentation of brain tumors in medical field.

Upcoming studies might improve the structure of the network by adding sophisticated components like attention mechanisms or transformers with U-Net and VGG 16 for better accuracy in dividing areas. Adding more types of imaging like PET or CT, in addition to 3D-MRI, could make it easier to distinguish between different parts of tumors by combining information from multiple sources.

Using pre-trained models on a variety of medical imaging data could also improve results, particularly for less common tumors or smaller amounts of data. It's also important to tackle the issue of imbalanced data with more advanced techniques such as adding more data, creating synthetic data, or using learning methods that consider the cost of errors. Creating a system that can segment in real-time for planning surgeries, making it reliable and applicable to various data sets, and making the results easier to understand and explain are key for its use in medical practice. Thorough testing in clinical settings, creating models tailored to individual patients, and linking the system with current workflows for planning treatments are crucial for its usefulness and for making it easy for radiologists and oncologists to use.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ujjwal Baid; Sanjay Talbar; Swapnil Rane; Sudeep Gupta; Meenakshi H Thakur; Aliasgar Moiyadi; Nilesh Sable; Mayuresh Akolkar; Abhishek Mahajan; "A Novel Approach For Fully Automatic Intra-Tumor Segmentation With 3D U-Net Architecture For Gliomas", FRONTIERS IN COMPUTATIONAL NEUROSCIENCE, 2020. (IF: 3)
- [2] Marco Domenico Cirillo; David Abramian; Anders Eklund; "Vox2Vox: 3D-GAN For Brain Tumour Segmentation", ARXIV-CS.CV, 2020. (IF: 3)
- [3] Xue Feng; Nicholas J Tustison; Sohil H Patel; Craig H Meyer; "Brain Tumor Segmentation Using An Ensemble of 3D U-Nets and Overall Survival Prediction Using Radiomic Features", FRONTIERS IN COMPUTATIONAL NEUROSCIENCE, 2020. (IF: 4)
- [4] Pei Wang; Albert C S Chung; "Relax and Focus on Brain Tumor Segmentation", MEDICAL IMAGE ANALYSIS, 2021. (IF: 3)
- [5] Sumit Pandey; Satyasaran Changdar; Mathias Perslev; Erik B Dam; "Fully Automated Tumor Segmentation for Brain MRI Data Using Multiplanner UNet", ARXIV-EESS.IV, 2024.
- [6] Daniel E Cahall; Ghulam Rasool; Nidhal C Bouaynaya; Hassan M Fathallah-Shaykh; "Inception Modules Enhance Brain Tumor Segmentation", FRONTIERS IN COMPUTATIONAL NEUROSCIENCE, 2019. (IF: 3)
- [7] Mehdi Amian; Mohammadreza Soltaninejad; "Multi-Resolution 3D CNN For MRI Brain Tumor Segmentation And Survival Prediction", ARXIV-EESS.IV, 2019. (IF: 3)
- [8] Tiejun Yang; Jikun Song; Lei Li; Qi Tang; "Improving Brain Tumor Segmentation on MRI Based on The Deep U-net and Residual Units", JOURNAL OF X-RAY SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 2019. (IF: 3)
- [9] B. Srinivas; Gottapu Sasibhushana Rao; "Segmentation of Multi-Modal MRI Brain Tumor Sub-Regions Using Deep Learning", JOURNAL OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY, 2020. (IF: 3)
- [10] Vandana Mohindru; Ashutosh Sharma; Apurv Mathur; Anuj Kumar Gupta; "Brain Segmentation Using Deep Neural Networks", 2020.
- [11] Havaei, M., Davy, A., Warde-Farley, D., Biard, A., Courville, A., Bengio, Y., ... & Larochelle, H. (2017). Brain tumor segmentation with deep neural networks. Medical Image Analysis, 35, 18-31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2016.05.004

- [12] Rahman, T., Gao, J., & Nowduri, S. (2017). Segmentation of mri images via mathematical morphology. International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Machine Learning, 5(2), 115-121. https://doi.org/10.18642/ijamml_7100121727
- [13] Sheikh, K., Sutar, V., & Thigale, S. (2015). Clustering based segmentation approach to detect brain tumor from mri scan. International Journal of Computer Applications, 118(8), 36-39. https://doi.org/10.5120/20768-3224
- [14] Kaur, M. (2017). Efficient image segmentation of brain tumor detection using fuzzy c-mean and mean-shift. International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science, 8(7), 317-323. https://doi.org/10.26483/ijarcs.v8i7.4221
- [15] Li, X., Luo, G., & Wang, K. (2019). Multi-step cascaded networks for brain tumor segmentation. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.1908.05887
- [16] Menze, B. H., Jakab, A., Bauer, S., Kalpathy-Cramer, J., Farahani, K., Kirby, J., ... & Van Leemput, K. (2014). The multimodal brain tumor image segmentation benchmark (BRATS). IEEE transactions on medical imaging, 34(10), 1993-2024.
- [17] P. Mlynarski, H. Delingette, A. Criminisi, N. Ayache, Deep learning with mixed supervision for brain tumor segmentation, J. Med. Imaging 6 (2019) 034002.
- [18] Y. Wang, C. Li, T. Zhu, J. Zhang, Multimodal brain tumor image segmentation using WRN-PPNet, Comput. Med. Imaging Graph. 75 (2019) 56–65.
- [19] S. Chen, C. Ding, M. Liu, Dual-force convolutional neural networks for accurate brain tumor segmentation, Pattern Recogn. 88 (2019) 90–100.
- [20] S. Sajid, S. Hussain, A. Sarwar, Brain tumor detection and segmentation in MR images using deep learning, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 44 (2019) 9249–9261.
- [21] X. Zhao, Y. Wu, G. Song, et al., A deep learning model integrating FCNNs and CRFs for brain tumor segmentation, Med. Image Anal. 43 (2018) 98–111.
- [22] S. Hussain, S.M. Anwar, M. Majid, segmentation of glioma tumors in brain using deep convolutional neural network, Neurocomputing 282 (2018) 248–261.
- [23] Kalaiselvi, T., & Padmapriya, S. T. (2022). Multimodal MRI brain tumor segmentation—a ResNet-based U-Net approach. In Brain Tumor MRI Image Segmentation Using Deep Learning Techniques (pp. 123-135). Academic Press.