

A Refinement of an L_q Inequality for a Polynomial with Restrictions on Zeros

Aijaz Ahmad¹, Mushtaq Ahmad Shah¹, Prabal Pratap Singh²

¹Department of Mathematics
GCET, Safapora, Ganderbal, Jammu and Kashmir, India, 193501
e-mail: aiju.aijaz117@gmail.com, mushtaq022@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, J.S. University,
Shikohabad(Firozabad), U.P, India.
e-mail: drppsingh0508@gmail.com

Abstract

Let F_η be the set of all polynomials of degree η , then for $F \in F_\eta$, the L_q analogue of Bernstein's inequality was proved by Zygmund. In fact, he proved that

$$\|F'\|_q \leq \eta \|F\|_q, \quad \text{for } q > 0.$$

In literature, so many generalizations and refinements of this result exists. Recently K Krishnadas and B Chanam [10, Theorem 1] proved a generalisation of above result. In this paper we prove a refinement of above result which in turn provides a generalization of several other results.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D10, 30C15, 41A17.

Keywords and Phrases: Polynomials, integral mean inequalities, Zeros, L_q norm.

1 Introduction

Let F_η be the set of all polynomials of degree η .

For $F \in F_\eta$ define,

$$\|F\|_q := \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\Theta})|^q d\Theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad 0 < q < \infty$$

$$\|F\|_\infty := \max_{|z|=1} |F(z)|$$

Also,

$$\|F\|_0 := \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |F(e^{i\Theta})| d\Theta \right\}$$

If $F \in F_\eta$,

$$\|F'\|_q \leq \eta \|F\|_q, \quad q > 0. \tag{1.1}$$

inequality (1.1) was found out by Zygmund [18]. Letting $q \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.1), we get

$$\|F'\|_\infty \leq \eta \|F\|_\infty \tag{1.2}$$

which is a well-known Bernstein's inequality.

Arestov[1] proved that (1.1) is true for $q \in [0, 1)$ as well.

If $F \in F_\eta$ such that $F(z)$ doesn't vanish in $|z| < 1$, then (1.2) and (1.1) can be respectively replaced by

$$\|F'\|_\infty \leq \frac{\eta}{2} \|F\|_\infty \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$\|F'\|_q \leq \frac{\eta}{\|1+z\|_q} \|F\|_q, \quad q > 0. \tag{1.4}$$

The inequality (1.3) was conjectured by Erdős and later verified by Lax

[10], inequality (1.4) is due to Debruijn [4] for $q \geq 1$. Rahman and

Schmeisser[14] verified that (1.4) is valid for $q \in [0, 1)$ as well.

Turan[18] proved that, if $F \in F_\eta$ vanishes in $|z| \leq 1$, then

$$\|F'\|_\infty \geq \frac{\eta}{2} \|F\|_\infty. \tag{1.5}$$

equality holds in both the inequalities (1.3) and (1.5) for $F(z) = l + \tau z^n$, where $|l| = |\tau|$.

Also, Govil and Rahman [7] proved that, if $F \in F_\eta$ does not vanishes in $|z| < h, h \geq 1$, then

$$\|F'\|_q \leq \frac{\eta}{\|h+z\|_q} \|F\|_q, \quad q \geq 1 \tag{1.6}$$

Gardener and Weems [6] and Rather [14] independently verified the validity of (1.6) for $0 < q < 1$ as well.

Also, Aziz and Rather[2] proved that if $F \in F_\eta$ does not vanishes in $|z| < h, h \geq 1$, then for every $q > 0$

$$\|F'\|_q \leq \frac{\eta}{\|\Delta_{h,1} + z\|_q} \|F\|_q, \quad q \geq 1 \tag{1.7}$$

where $\Delta_{h,1} = \frac{\eta|a_0|h^2 + h^2|a_1|}{\eta|a_0| + h^2|a_1|}$

Malik[12] proved that if $F \in F_\eta$ vanishes in $|z| \leq 1$, then for every q positive.

$$\|F'\|_q \geq \frac{\eta}{\|1+z\|_q} \|F\|_q. \tag{1.8}$$

Aziz and Rather [2] generalised the inequality (1.8) as if $F \in F_\eta$ vanishes in $|z| \leq h, h \leq 1$, then for every $q > 0$

$$\|F'\|_q \geq \frac{\eta}{\|1+t_{h,1}z\|_q} \|F\|_q. \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$\|F'\|_\infty \geq \frac{\eta}{\|1+t_{h,1}z\|_q} \|F\|_q. \tag{1.10}$$

where $t_{h,1} = \frac{\eta|a_\eta|h^2 + |a_{\eta-1}|}{\eta|a_\eta| + |a_{\eta-1}|}$

Govil et al. [8] demonstrated the following two theorems, in which they generalised (1.6) and (1.8), and also the inequality (1.11) by involving some coefficients of F(z).

Theorem 1.1. If $F \in F_\eta$ does not vanishes in $|z| < h, h \geq 1$, then

$$\|F'\|_\infty \leq \frac{\eta}{1+h} \frac{(1-|\alpha|)(1+h^2|\alpha|) + h(\eta-1)|\mu-\alpha^2|}{(1-|\alpha|)(1-h+h^2+h|\alpha|) + h(\eta-1)|\mu-\alpha^2|} \|F\|_\infty, \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{ha_1}{\eta a_0} \text{ and } \mu = \frac{2h^2 a_2}{\eta(\eta-1)a_0}.$$

Theorem 1.2. If $F \in F_\eta$ vanishes in $|z| \leq h, h \leq 1$, then

$$\|F'\|_\infty \geq \frac{\eta}{1+h} \frac{(1-|\beta|)(1+h^2|\beta|) + h(\eta-1)|\gamma-\beta^2|}{(1-|\beta|)(1-h+h^2+h|\beta|) + h(\eta-1)|\gamma-\beta^2|} \|F\|_\infty, \tag{1.12}$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{\bar{a}_{\eta-1}}{\eta h \bar{a}_\eta} \text{ and } \gamma = \frac{2\bar{a}_{\eta-2}}{\eta(\eta-1)h^2 \bar{a}_\eta}.$$

Recently, Krishnadas and Chanam[10] demonstrated the following two theorems in which they extended inequalities (1.14) and (1.15) to L_q norms.

Theorem 1.3. If $F \in F_\eta$ does not vanishes in $|z| < h, h \geq 1$, then for every $q > 0$

$$\|F'\|_q \leq \frac{\eta}{\|\Gamma+z\|_q} \|F\|_q. \tag{1.13}$$

where

$$\Gamma = h \frac{(1-|\alpha|)(|\alpha|+h^2) + h(\eta-1)|\mu-\alpha^2|}{(1-|\alpha|)(|\alpha|h^2+1) + h(\eta-1)|\mu-\alpha^2|} \tag{1.14}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{ha_1}{\eta a_0} \text{ and } \mu = \frac{2h^2 a_2}{\eta(\eta-1)a_0}.$$

Theorem 1.4. If $F \in F_\eta$ vanishes in $|z| \leq h, h \leq 1$, then for every $q > 0$

$$\|F'\|_q \geq \frac{\eta}{\|1+Sz\|_q} \|F\|_q. \tag{1.15}$$

where

$$S = h \frac{(1 - |\beta|)(|\beta| + h^2) + h(\eta - 1)|\gamma - \beta^2|}{(1 - |\beta|)(|\beta|h^2 + 1) + h(\eta - 1)|\gamma - \beta^2|} \tag{1.16}$$

$$\beta = \frac{\bar{a}_{\eta-1}}{\eta h \bar{a}_\eta} \text{ and } \gamma = \frac{2\bar{a}_{\eta-2}}{\eta(\eta - 1)h^2 \bar{a}_\eta}.$$

2 Main Results

In this paper, first we obtain the following result which includes not only a refinement of Theorem 1.3 but also provides some generalization of other results.

Theorem 2.1. If $F \in F_\eta$ does not vanishes in $|z| < h, h \geq 1$, then for every $q > 0$

$$\|F' + \tau\eta m z^{\eta-1}\|_q \leq \frac{\eta}{\|\Gamma + z\|_q} \|F\|_q. \tag{2.1}$$

where Γ is defined by (1.17) and $m = \min_{|z|=h} |F(z)|$.

Remark 2.2 If we put $m = 0$ and let $q \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.1), the Theorem 2.1 reduces to Theorem 1.1 by using the inequality (1.17).

Remark 2.3 For $m = 0$, inequality (2.1) reduces to inequality (1.16). Next, we prove the theorem as a refinement of Theorem 1.4. In fact we prove

Theorem 2.4. If $F \in F_\eta$ vanishes in $|z| \leq h, h \leq 1$, then for every $q > 0$

$$\|F' + \tau\eta m z^{\eta-1}\|_q \geq \frac{\eta}{\|1 + Sz\|_q} \|F\|_q. \tag{2.2}$$

where S is defined by (1.19)

Remark 2.5. For $m = 0$ Theorem 2.4 reduces to Theorem 1.4.

Instead of proving Theorem 2.4, we prove a more general result, from which Theorem 2.4, follows as a special case.

Theorem 2.6. If $F \in F_\eta$ vanishes in $|z| \leq h, h \leq 1$, then for every $q > 0, r > 1, s > 1$ with $r^{-1} + s^{-1} = 1$.

$$\|F' + \tau\eta m z^{\eta-1}\|_{sq} \geq \frac{\eta}{\|1 + Sz\|_{rq}} \|F\|_q, \tag{2.3}$$

where S is defined by (1.19).

Remark 2.7. Put $r = 0$ or $s = 0$, we obtain Theorem 2.4.

3 Lemmas

In this section we present some lemmas which will help us to prove our results.

Lemma 3.1. If $F \in F_\eta$ does not vanishes in $|z| < h, h \geq 1$, then

$$\Gamma|F'(z)| \leq |\mathcal{Q}'(z)| \tag{3.1}$$

where Γ is defined by (1.17) and $\mathcal{Q}(z) = z^\eta \overline{F(\frac{1}{z})}$

Above Lemma 3.1 is due to Govil et al.[8]. By applying Lemma (3.1.) to the polynomial $F(z) = F(z) + m\tau z^\eta$, we immediately get the following result.

Lemma 3.2. If $F \in F_\eta$ does not vanishes in $|z| < h, h \geq 1$, then for any complex number τ with $|\tau| \leq 1$,

$$\Gamma|F'(z) + \tau\eta m z^{\eta-1}| \leq |\mathcal{Q}'(z)| \tag{3.2}$$

where Γ is defined by (1.17) and $m = \min_{|z|=h} |F(z)|$.

Lemma 3.3. If $F \in F_\eta$ vanishes in $|z| \leq h, h \leq 1$, then on $|z| = 1$

$$|\mathcal{Q}'(z)| \leq S|F'(z) + \eta m \tau z^{\eta-1}| \tag{3.3}$$

where S is defined by (1.19).

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Since $F(z)$ vanishes in $|z| \leq h, h \leq 1$, then the polynomial $\mathcal{Q}(z) = z^\eta \overline{F(\frac{1}{z})}$ does not vanishes in $|z| < \frac{1}{h}, \frac{1}{h} \geq 1$. Thus applying Lemma 3.2 to the polynomial $\mathcal{Q}(z)$, we have

$$|\mathcal{Q}'(z)| \leq h \frac{(1 - |\beta|)(\frac{1}{h^2}|\beta| + 1) + \frac{1}{h}(\eta - 1)|\gamma - \beta^2|}{(1 - |\beta|)(|\beta| + h^2) + \frac{1}{h}(\eta - 1)|\gamma - \beta^2|} |F'(z) + \eta m \tau z^{\eta-1}|$$

$$\beta = \frac{1/h \bar{a}_{\eta-1}}{\eta \bar{a}_\eta} = \frac{\bar{a}_{\eta-1}}{\eta h \bar{a}_\eta} \text{ and } \gamma = \frac{2/h^2 \bar{a}_{\eta-2}}{\eta(\eta - 1)h^2 \bar{a}_\eta} = \frac{2\bar{a}_{\eta-2}}{\eta(\eta - 1)h^2 \bar{a}_\eta}.$$

Then,

$$|\mathcal{Q}'(z)| \leq h \frac{(1 - |\beta|)(|\beta| + h^2) + h(\eta - 1)|\gamma - \beta^2|}{(1 - |\beta|)(|\beta|h^2 + 1) + h(\eta - 1)|\gamma - \beta^2|} |F'(z) + \eta m \tau z^{\eta-1}|$$

which proves Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.4. If $F \in F_\eta$, then for every $l, 0 \leq l < 2\pi$ and $q > 0$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\mathcal{Q}'(e^{i\Theta}) + e^{il} F'(e^{i\Theta})|^q d\Theta dl \leq 2\pi \eta^q \int_0^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\Theta})|^q d\Theta \tag{3.4}$$

The above lemma is due to Aziz [2]

Lemma 3.5. If $F \in F_\eta$, then for every $l, 0 \leq l < 2\pi$, $q > 0$ and for any complex number τ with $|\tau| \leq 1$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\mathcal{Q}'(e^{i\Theta}) + e^{il} \{F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}\}|^q d\Theta dl \leq 2\pi \eta^q \int_0^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\Theta})|^q d\Theta \tag{3.5}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.5. By applying Lemma (3.4.) to the polynomial $F(z) = F(z) + m \tau z^\eta$, we can easily get the proof of Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 3.6. Let z be any complex and independent of l , where l is any real, then for $q > 0$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |1 + ze^{il}|^q dl = \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{il} + z|^q dl \tag{3.6}$$

Lemma 3.6 is due to Gardner and Govil[5].

4 Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 2.1. As $F(z)$ does not vanishes in $|z| < h, h \geq 1$ hence, by Lemma 3.2. we have

$$\Gamma|F'(z) + \tau\eta m z^{\eta-1}| \leq |Q'(z)| \tag{4.1}$$

where Γ is defined by (1.17) and $m = \min_{|z|=h} |F(z)|$.

For each Real l and $G \geq r \geq 1$, we have

$$|G + e^{il}| \geq |r + e^{il}|$$

Then, for every $q > 0$, we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |G + e^{il}|^q dl \geq \int_0^{2\pi} |r + e^{il}|^q dl \tag{4.2}$$

For points $e^{i\Theta}$, $0 \leq \Theta < 2\pi$, for which $F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}$ does not vanishes, we denote $G = \left| \frac{Q'(e^{i\Theta})}{F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}} \right|$ and $r = \Gamma$, then by (4.1), we for every $q > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} |Q'(e^{i\Theta}) + e^{il} \{F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}\}|^q dl \\ &= |F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}|^q \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{Q'(e^{i\Theta})}{F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}} + e^{il} \right|^q dl \\ &= |F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}|^q \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{Q'(e^{i\Theta})}{F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}} + e^{il} \right|^q dl \quad \text{by (3.6)} \\ &= |F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}|^q \int_0^{2\pi} |G + e^{il}|^q dl \\ &\geq |F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}|^q \int_0^{2\pi} |r + e^{il}|^q dl \quad \text{by (4.2)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |Q'(e^{i\Theta}) + e^{il} \{F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}\}|^q dl \geq |F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}|^q \int_0^{2\pi} |\Gamma + e^{il}|^q dl \tag{4.3}$$

for $e^{i\Theta}$, $0 \leq \Theta < 2\pi$, for which $F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}$ does not vanishes. For points $e^{i\Theta}$, $0 \leq \Theta < 2\pi$, for which $F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}$ vanishes, (4.3) trivially holds. Hence, using (4.3) in Lemma 3.5, we get for each $q > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}|^q d\Theta \int_0^{2\pi} |\Gamma + e^{il}|^q \leq 2\pi \eta^q \int_0^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\Theta})|^q d\Theta$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \eta m \tau e^{i(\eta-1)\Theta}|^q d\Theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \eta \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\Gamma + e^{il}|^q dl \right\}^{-\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\Theta})|^q d\Theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{4.4}$$

which proves Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Since $F(z)$ vanishes in $|z| \leq h, h \leq 1$, $F(z)$ also vanishes in $|z| \leq h, h \leq 1$. Hence, by Gauss-Lucas Theorem,

$$z^{\eta-1} \overline{F\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)} = \eta Q(z) - z Q'(z) \tag{4.5}$$

vanishes in $|z| \geq \frac{1}{h}, \frac{1}{h} \geq 1$. Further, since $F(z)$ vanishes in $|z| \leq h, h \leq 1$, we have by Lemma 3.3.

$$\begin{aligned} |Q'(z)| &\leq S |F'(z) + \eta m \tau z^{\eta-1}| \\ &= S \{|F'(z)| + t \eta m\} \quad \text{for } |z| = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where S is defined by (1.19) and $|\tau| = t$.

For $|z| = 1$, we also have

$$|F'(z) + \eta m \tau z^{\eta-1}| = |\eta Q(z) - z Q'(z)|. \tag{4.7}$$

Using (4.7) in (4.6), we have on $|z| = 1$

$$|Q'(z)| \leq S \{|\eta Q(z) - z Q'(z)| + t \eta m\} \tag{4.8}$$

Thus, by (4.5) and (4.8),

$$\psi(z) = \frac{z Q'(z)}{S \{|\eta Q(z) - z Q'(z)| + t \eta m\}}$$

is analytic in $|z| \leq 1$, $|\psi(z)| \leq 1$ on $|z| = 1$ and $\psi(0) = 0$. Therefore, $1 + S\psi(z)$ is subordinate to the function $1 + Sz$ for $|z| \leq 1$. Hence, by a well known property of subordination [9], we have for every $q > 0$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |1 + S\psi(e^{i\Theta})|^q d\Theta \geq \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + Se^{i\Theta}|^q d\Theta \tag{4.9}$$

Now,

$$1 + S\psi(z) = 1 + \frac{zQ'(z)}{|\eta Q(z) - zQ'(z)| + t\eta m} = \frac{\eta Q(z)}{|\eta Q(z) - zQ'(z)| + t\eta m}$$

which implies for $|z| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta Q(z)| &= |1 + S\psi(z)| | \eta Q(z) - zQ'(z) | + t\eta m \\ &= |1 + S\psi(z)| | F'(z) | + t\eta m \quad \text{by (4.7)} \end{aligned}$$

As $|F(z)| = |Q(z)|$ on $|z| = 1$, by preceding inequality we have

$$\eta |F(z)| = |1 + S\psi(z)| | F'(z) | + t\eta m \quad \text{on } |z| = 1 \tag{4.10}$$

Then for every $q > 0$ and $0 \leq \Theta < 2\pi$, we have

$$\eta^q \int_0^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\Theta})|^q d\Theta = \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + S\psi(e^{i\Theta})|^q \{ |F'(e^{i\Theta})| + t\eta m \}^q d\Theta$$

Applying Holder's inequality to the above inequality, we have for $r > 1, s > 1$ with $r^{-1} + s^{-1} = 1$ and for every $q > 0$

$$\eta^q \int_0^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\Theta})|^q d\Theta \leq \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + S\psi(e^{i\Theta})|^{r q} d\Theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \{ |F'(e^{i\Theta})| + t\eta m \}^{s q} d\Theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

which implies

$$\eta \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\Theta})|^q d\Theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + S\psi(e^{i\Theta})|^{r q} d\Theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q r}} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \{ |F'(e^{i\Theta})| + t\eta m \}^{s q} d\Theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{s q}}$$

using (4.9) in the above inequality , we have

$$\eta \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\Theta})|^q d\Theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + Se^{i\Theta}|^{r_q} d\Theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_r}} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \{|F'(e^{i\Theta})| + t\eta m\}^{s_q} d\Theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{s_q}}$$

by choosing argument of τ as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 , we get the above inequality as

$$\eta \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\Theta})|^q d\Theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + Se^{i\Theta}|^{r_q} d\Theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_r}} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |F'(e^{i\Theta}) + \tau\eta m e^{i(n-1)\Theta}|^{s_q} d\Theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{s_q}}$$

which proves the theorem.

References

- [1] V. V. Arestov, On inequalities for trigonometric polynomials and their derivative, *IZV. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. Ser. Math.*, 45 (1981), 3-22.
- [2] . Aziz and N.A. Rather, Some Zygmund type L_q inequalities for polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 289 (2004), 14-29.
- [3] . Bernstein, *Lecons sur les proprietes extremales et la meilleure approximation des fonctions analytiques d'une variable reelle*, Gauthier Villars, Paris (1926).
- [4] .G. de Bruijn, Inequalities concerning polynomials in the complex domain, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc.*, 50 (1947), 1265-1272; *Indag. Math.*, 9 (1947), 591-598.
- [5] .B. Gardner and N.K. Govil, An L_q inequality for a polynomial and its derivative, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 194 (1995), 720-726.
- [6] . Gardner and A. Weems, A Bernstein-type L_p inequality for a certain class of polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 219 (1998), 472-478.
- [7] .K. Govil and Q.I. Rahman, Functions of exponential type not vanishing in a half-plane and related polynomials, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137 (1969), 501-517.
- [8] .K. Govil, Q.I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, On the derivative of a polynomial, *Illinois J. of Math.*, 23, No 2 (1979), 319-329.

- [9] . Hille, Analytic Function Theory, Vol. II, Ginn. and Company, New York, Toronto (1962).
- [10] .Krishnadas and B Chanam, L^γ Inequalities Concerning Polynomials, International Journal of Applied Mathematics, Vol. 34, No. 5(2021) 979-994.
- [11] .D. Lax, Proof of a Conjecture of P. Erdos on the derivative of a polynomial, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 50 (1944), 509-513.
- [12] .A. Malik, On the derivative of a polynomial, J. London Math. Soc., (1969), 57-60.
- [13] .A.Malik, An integral mean estimate for polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 91 (1984), 281-284.
- [14] .I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, L_p inequalities for polynomials, J. Approx. Theory, 53 (1998), 26-32.
- [15] .A. Rather, Extremal Properties and Location of the Zeros of Polynomials, PhD. Thesis, University of Kashmir (1998).
- [16] . Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, Tata Mcgraw-Hill Publ. Co. (Reprinted in India) (1977).
- [17] .E. Taylor, Introduction to Functional Analysis, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York (1958).
- [18] . Turan, Uber die ableitung von polynomen, Compositio Math., 7 (1939), 89-95.
- [19] . Zygmund, A remark on conjugate series, Proc. London Math. Soc., 34 (1932), 392-400.