

Urban Local Governments in India: Issues and Challenges

Y. Ramaiah¹
A.T. Vinaya Kumar²

¹ *Dr .Y. Ramaiah, Academic Consultant, Department of Political Science and Public Administration, Yogi Vemana University, Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India*

¹ *Dr. A.T. Vinaya Kumar, Academic Consultant, Department of Political Science and Public Administration, Yogi Vemana University, Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India*

Abstract

Urban local government organisations and municipalities are set up to help and deliberately improve urban areas. The aim is to make sure that the subjects have access to the proper structure and services. In many parts of India, metropolitan life offers no promise for personal fulfilment, and locals struggle to make ends meet. The Indian government has begun a series of initiatives to strengthen local-level governance in an effort to address this problem. The primary objective of this article is to show the genuine problems with local governance and to identify some key obstacles that are currently being faced by Indian urban local government institutions in light of developments that are currently occurring in the urban sector.

Key words: Urban Local Governments, municipalities, Issues and Challenges, Development

Introduction

The general populace is empowered when authority is distributed from a single point of focus, and the government can also be held accountable for exercising political power. In India, as the institutions based on public support started to emerge, the tendency towards the centralization of power is typically gradually addressed, and efforts towards decentralisation and subject investment in the management of their local region are encouraged. A few of the intriguing problems that led to inefficient and poor governance are a lack of resources, a lack of planning, an overbearing governmental control, and others (Singh, Nirvikar, 2007).

The availability of decent infrastructure and services has a significant impact on a region's ability to develop. There are several developing nations on the earth where urban benefit levels are much below the guidelines and inhabitants' lives are difficult. Clearly, these circumstances also negatively affect the effectiveness of metropolitan areas. The local

¹ Dr .Y. Ramaiah, Academic Consultant, Department of Political Science and Public Administration, Yogi Vemana University, Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India

² Dr. A.T. Vinaya Kumar, Academic Consultant, Department of Political Science and Public Administration, Yogi Vemana University, Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India

government institutions, who play a major role in the local governance process, bear a considerable share of the blame for the current state of affairs. This is due to the manner that urban local governments, also known as municipalities, on the one hand, seek to recover costs associated with benefit arrangements by levying a variety of fees and non-charges, while on the other hand, fail to satisfy the needs of the local population (Vijaya, Srinivasan, 2007).

Urbanisation is a transition from the traditional to the modern. Agrarian civilization is changing to a mechanical one. It causes a transition from a casual to a formal style of life, which denotes material wealth in terms of different kinds of physical comfort. Urbanisation changes people's relationships from being personal and unique to being very segmented and unimaginative. Additionally, it results in a change from the usage of manual to mechanical production equipment. It is still necessary to make adjustments to circumstances, workplaces, and cultural contexts.

The process through which people migrate from rural to urban areas is known as urbanisation. It is a typical result of monetary system changes as the country develops. In India, the urban population increased from 28.6 crore in 2001 to 37.7 crore in 2011. For the first time since the country's independence, urban areas have had greater population growth (9.1 compared to 9.0 in rural areas) than rural areas. In 2011, 31.16% of the population was in rural areas and 68.84% of it was urban (Government of India, 2011).

Rural population decreased from 72.19% to 68.84% during the 2001 and 2011 censuses, while the urban population increased from 27.81% to 31.16%. The number of towns in India increased from 5161 (in 2001) to 7935 (in 2011), with the number of statutory towns increasing from 3799 to 4041 and the number of census towns increasing from 1362 to 3894. This increase occurred when the number of urban units in India was taken into consideration. As a result, it is abundantly obvious that the rate of urbanisation is accelerating in a manner that is resulting in burdensome building and insufficient politeness for people (Government of India, 2011).

Although there are more than 250,000 local governments in India, their total contribution to the country's gross domestic product is just approximately 2%¹. These data contain a wide range of different types, from the town panchayats of the tiniest rural towns to the city corporations of the most populous metropolitan urban cities. Due to the structure of their administrations, rural areas might have up to three tiers of administration beneath the state. The goal of this paper is to examine the wide range of municipal governments and identify commonalities among them, while also taking into account the many contextual factors. In the context of the extensive research conducted on the subject of local government in India, a conventional scientific method is connected to the investigation of practical obligations, income sources, as well as institutions and impetuses.

The 73rd and 74th amendments, which were recently enacted by the states, have the potential to significantly alter the role and relative importance of local governments in India. The states have just lately enacted these changes. This essay also delves into the changes suggested by or mandated by the law, and it highlights some of the logistical challenges of reforming local government to improve service delivery to citizens. A disproportionate level of weight is given to funds and earnings because of how crucial it is to increase the value of the local

government's financial assets in order to affect change in this measurement. Because of their importance to the process of formulating a local government benefit arrangement, I also detail the political, administrative, and other institutional imperatives that drive such arrangements. I also elaborate on the significance of these considerations (Kataria, S.K., Oct-Dec, 2008).

Local government studies in India often start with the individual states. This is because individual states are sizable political entities and collectively expend nearly as much as the federal government. State governments have traditionally had considerable sway over their municipal counterparts. State and local governments share many of the same functional responsibilities. In practise, states have regularly regulated local spheres of influence, either through regular accords with local authorities or by dismissing specific local authorities under a variety of guises and replacing them with state government authorities as heads of departments. Data analysis at the state level provides a glimpse into the rich mosaic that is India. There has been extensive study on the connections between state governments and concentrates, and the data at the state level is both comprehensive and generally reliable, so this is conceivable.

Concept of Local Government

Contrarily, local government and local self-governance are used. The phrase "local self-government" actually refers to provincial rule, which has been shown incorrect in light of the achievement of freedom and the establishment of essentially independent governance by local citizens acting through their chosen representatives. The sub-territorial level of government is known as local self government. The 'local' infrastructure, such as the water supply, local roads, local markets, sterile offices, and so forth, is taken care of by this government. Despite the fact that these capabilities are on a small scale, they are crucial for the local zone's governance (Sachdeva, Pardeep, 2003).

'Local' refers to a specific geological region. Additionally, it suggests social relationships among the general populace within a limited geographical zone. For an open specialist, the other word, "government," is still in use. In a liberal-equitable framework, a government may be organised regionally, with a national level government at the focal level, a state or local level government at the centre level, and numerous local governmental units that exercise expert judgement and release various essential local capacities in accordance with statutory decentralisation at the sub territorial level. Therefore, it is viewed as a technique for enhancing and growing the majority rules system by extending activity flexibility to local government. As 'government,' local government has three key characteristics: (1) it is elected by the local population; (2) it has the authority to impose fees and other costs, like other governments; and (3) its powers and activities are unmistakably outlined in law, giving it some measure of independence within the framework of enactment.

Urban Local Governments

As one could reasonably imagine, the list of utilitarian responsibilities for metropolitan governments is more extensive than that of rural governments. Datta (1992) cites the current assignments to come up with a list that includes 16 concurrent functions with the state

administrations and 14 distinct capacities for the time before the shift. Examples of responsibilities that were excluded from the list for rural governments include fire administrations, health facilities, libraries, visitor usage, industry and company management, parks and play places, and other sectors that fall outside the purview of urban governments. In a manner comparable to how each state possesses its own legislation that reflects the many sorts of urban zones, mostly in the form of comprehensive Municipal Acts but occasionally in the form of city-specific legislation for huge metropolitan cities such as Mumbai. As a consequence of this, there was a notable variety in real practical obligations even among urban regions of comparable size. Urban centres such as Mumbai and Ahmedabad had more demanding responsibilities than other urban districts. The Ahmedabad Corporation, for instance, has a significant role in education, health, water supply, transportation, and urban planning in the city, whereas in Chennai (Madras), entities at the state level are responsible for providing similar services (Jetha, 1992). In point of fact, even in the most populous urban areas, there was robust monitoring from the state level. Faculty members were enrolled in either local or state police administrations. This was the case even though capacities such as police security were arranged at the local level in terms of jurisdiction.

Bhagwan (1983) and Jetha (1992) provide supplementary information that is specific to the activities of Trivandrum in addition to the five most populous urban centres in India: Ahmedabad, Calcutta, Chennai, Delhi, and Mumbai.

The Constitution was amended for the 74th time, and as part of that change, 37 different administrative districts were created for cities. In contrast to the modification that was made for rural local governments, this did not provide any hints regarding a potentially significant duty development. Some examples of extra responsibilities include the building and improvement of contemporary and commercial properties, as well as the provision of optional and adult education, essential knowledge, the management of land use, and the distribution of electrical power. However, larger municipal agencies like the Delhi Electric Supply Undertaking, which is within the authority of the MCD, are now handling some of these additional obligations. Since the constitution has not been amended to reflect changes to either the state or concurrent records, the state governments continue to exercise substantial expert control over the inner workings of city governments. These abilities, however, are fictitious. The problem of maintaining order in rural communities has been studied at length. One may make the case that this kind of decentralisation is problematic here. In any case, in urban areas and larger towns, it would be possible to shift legal authority to the municipal level while maintaining local control. However, this is not the case with the ongoing protected modifications.

Role of Urban Local Government

The urban local government primarily functions as an office of administration. The Encyclopaedia of Sociologies provided an apt explanation, stating that "City Government has ascended to a position of high significance in modern political society not only because urban residents currently constitute such a significant portion of the population but also because city organisation has evolved by its multifaceted nature into an issue of incredible innate trouble." The people of the rural area are used to taking care of themselves, thus there is seldom any

need for government. However, when enormous groups of people are gathered closely together, they inevitably become more bonded. To increase their safety, welfare, and comfort, they gradually shift more responsibility to generic experts, and the larger the network, the more noticeable is this tendency (ESS, 1953).

The Rural-Urban Relationship Committee, 1966, examined the role of local bodies in the Indian government system and found that they are crucial for achieving the decentralisation of political power and the advancement of legal principles. According to this panel, the urban government should be able to perform the following functions: a) act as local units of self-government; b) provide local open administrations and accommodations for healthy living, working, and playing; c) ensure planned and directed development of urban zones; d) prepare local resources and use them to the greatest extent possible of the network; and e) advance social, financial, and cultural improvement in a coordinated manner (MHFP, 1966).

According to M.A. Muttalib and Mohd. Akbar Ali Khan, the local administration is expected to carry out four different improvement tasks. According to Muttalib et al. (1982), they are as follows: a) promotion of well-known support; b) impulsion of financial advancement; c) social transformation; and d) impartial distribution of the results of progress.

Local Government Legislation

After India attained its current degree of independence, separate boards of trustees were established at the state and municipal levels to assess the efficiency of those levels of government and make improvements where necessary. In addition, various financial advisory boards have offered their recommendations on the topic. To aid in settling disputes between the federal government and the states over urban development, the Central Council of Local Self-Government was established in 1954 per Article 263 of the Constitution. Governments frequently enacted legislation to manage Panchayati Raj structures, and rural issues were kept apart from urban issues at the state level. Typically, these laws were the result of deliberations by advisory groups whose members came from a wide range of professional and personal experiences (Muttalib, M.A., and Ali, Mohd. Akbar, 2002).

In 1988, a renowned board of trustees from a different group advocated making Panchayati Raj organisations sacred. After two unsuccessful attempts within the following two years, in 1991, two distinct change legislation addressing panchayats and municipalities separately were introduced. These were approved by the vast majority of state assemblies, ratified by the two chambers of parliament at the end of 1992, and added to the Indian Constitution in 1993 as the 73rd and 74th amendments, respectively. These revisions needed the approval of individual states in order to be properly enacted because, according to the constitution, matters pertaining to local government remained a state's responsibility, and individual states have continued to operate in this manner.

In terms of transformation, what are the most important lessons that can be learned from the consequences of the modifications? In regard to the local administrations that are present in rural regions, the uniformity of the number of levels was upheld, despite the fact that it had been challenged in past revisions of the laws. However, only the smallest states, those with populations of fewer than 2 million, were given a special exception. The larger states, such as Kerala, are being forced to adjust their system of local government from two to three levels

(town, square in the centre of the road (taluk), and region). In any case, taking into consideration the latitude that countries have in formulating and carrying out their policies, this is undeniably a relatively unimportant matter.

The first change that needs to be made is for the state administration to use less racial caution while dealing with local governing bodies. The new statutes require that the calendar for elections to panchayats be strictly adhered to. It is imperative that decisions about the formation of new entities be taken before the current term comes to an end. In the event that a panchayat is suddenly dissolved, immediate elections are required to be held, and the newly elected body is obligated to finish out the remaining years of the multi-year mandate. These methods appear to prevent crises from being continually reported and then avoided in the same manner as those that occurred in Tamil Nadu, where, as was said earlier, panchayat elections were once postponed for an extended length of time due to progressive state governments. The discretionary requirements that apply to municipal governments in metropolitan areas have also been tightened. This is done in preparation for the state government substantially superseding municipal power and replacing certain offices with new ones (Sachdeva, Pardeep, 1993).

Considerable weight is given in the 73rd version to the idea that decisions should be made at all three levels of rural local government. Coordination races are indicated at each and every level. This is a substantial improvement over the scenario that existed prior to the changes, when decisions or assignments were made through a roundabout process at the higher two rural levels. This is a huge improvement.

In accordance with the size of their respective populations, seats are set aside for planned ranks and reserved clans, and offices for chairpersons at each of the three levels are maintained in a comparable fashion. Chairperson roles as well as one-third of the seats have been set aside for female attendees. At the neighbourhood and middle levels, participation in the panchayat is necessary for the selection of chairpersons; however, at the town level, contests for the position of chairperson can be held either directly or indirectly. At the state level, race commissions will be established to govern and supervise the processes that are optional to participate in. People at the state, regional, and municipal levels can be elected to serve as chairpersons of lower-tier bodies, just like senators, representatives, and assembly members. Members of Parliament, Legislative Assemblies, and Legislative Councils are all referred to as "MPs," "MLAs," and "MLCs," respectively.

The demonstration opens the door to an additional route that could be taken in terms of how local government is portrayed and held responsible. Gram sabhas, which are bodies that are composed of each and every registered voter in a region, will be established in each and every town and group of towns. Rather than specifying how the components of the Grama Sabha are to be apportioned among the states, the Karnataka Panchayat Act of 1983 provides a hint as to how they might work. This demonstration, which has since been superseded by the new enactment, made it possible for irregular gatherings of gram sabhas to discuss topics such as the panchayat's report, ideas for new projects for the advancement of the town, the execution of sanitation and waste plans through deliberate work, projects of adult education, and other related topics. Although the purpose of these gram sabhas is to establish a level of direct majority rule, it is quite likely that their real role will continue to be constrained because they do not possess sufficient competence or authority (Vijaya, Srinivasan, 2007).

Constitutional Amendment and Local Urban Government

The innovative idea to create a third level to the Indian government structure was unique in the annals of humankind. It is even more crucial now that a deeply united nation has an additional decentralised governance measurement. The introduction of the panchayati raj framework, urban local bodies, and the area organisation led by the authority is a remarkable test in which the managerial administration and the prominent popular government of chosen agents are developing a new vote-based governance model that is relatively uniform but remarkably differentiated in various conditions across the country. The chosen form of the Indian commonwealth is focused on creating a useful federalism of brought together variety, in contrast to the USA and Germany. Modern pressures that place the state and local organisations under conflicting weights from both above and below have been added with the presentation of an established status to panchayati raj and urban local bodies. Prior to the 1992 Constitutional Amendment, local urban and rural administrations were mostly under the authority and reliance of the federal and state governments, despite the fact that they were generally independent (Vijaya, Srinivasan, 2007). In this way, the freshly established status has strengthened the aim and desire of the common people from "their" government and improved their composure and duty.

The Nagarpalika Bill, which was approved by Parliament in December 1992, aimed to strengthen and reinvigorate urban local bodies by addressing their flaws, gaps, and weaknesses in their organisation and structure. The main areas of attention are:

i) the establishment of three different nagarpalikas: municipal corporations for urban areas, municipal councils for transitional zones. The Nagar Panchayats will be established for such a region, which is mostly rural in nature but which, over time, is likely going to have urban characteristics. Thus, this urban local body would need to serve both rural and urban functions; ii) establishment of ward panels and zonal boards of trustees to empower local bodies and enable residents to have ready access to their chosen representatives; iii) giving the general public power and assigning them duties at various levels in order to encourage the emergence of new initiatives; and iv) empower vi) appointing a Finance Commission to look into the financial requirements of the local bodies and giving the Comptroller and Auditor General the authority to review the records; and vii) granting the status of a constitutional body to urban local bodies by amending Article 40 of the Constitution. The booked standing and planned clans have been depicted in proportion to their population in the zone in question.

Conclusion

The goal of this inquiry is to identify the important local and city governance challenges in a small number of India's major centres. This evaluation is based on a review of important characteristics of urban local government, including the constitution and governance, obligations, organisation, management, and back-office procedures, as well as State and local activities and challenges. This article has only focused on local governments, taking into account their connections to state-level governments and other governmental bodies. When analysing previous and potential future changes to local government, the exchange of

practical task assignments, income specialist roles, and hierarchical structures has been a concern.

References

- Datta, Abhijit (1992). "Local Government Finances: Trends, Issues and Reforms", in *State Finances in India*, ed., Amaresh Bagchi, J.L. Bajaj, and William A. Byrd, New Delhi: Vikas Publishing House.
- Dillinger, William (1994). *Decentralization and Its Implications for Urban Service Delivery*, UNDP/UNCHS/World Bank Urban Management Programme Discussion Paper, UMP 16.
- Government of India (2007). *Sixth Report on Local Self Governance*, Second Administrative Reforms commission.
- Kataria, S.K. (Oct-Dec, 2008). Subsidiarity and Urban Local Government, *The Indian Journal of Public Administration*.
- Muttalib, M.A., and Ali, Mohd. Akbar (2002). *Theory of Local Government*, New Delhi: Sterling Publishers Pvt. Ltd.
- Sachdeva, Pardeep (1993). *Urban Local Government and Administration in India*, Allahabad: Kitab Mahal.
- Sachdeva, Pardeep (2003). *Urban Local government and Administration in India*, Allahabad: Kitab Mahal.
- Singh, Nirvikar (2007). "Governance and Reform in India", *Journal of International Trade and Economic Development*, June.
- Vijaya, Srinivasan (2007). *Citizen's Participation in Local Governance in Institutionalization of Citizens Participation in Civic Governance*, Mumbai: Regional Centre for urban and Environmental studies, All India Institute of Local Self government.