Study of Heavy Metals Immobilization of Contaminated Soil by the impetus of Biochar, Red mud & Fly ash

Sukhdeep Singh
Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, CT University, Ludhiana
sukh.er@rediffmail.com

Abstract
Long-term wastewater irrigation or solid waste disposal has resulted in heavy metal contamination in both soil and groundwater, due to the industrial activity nearby Buddha Nullah Area. The remediation of heavy metals merits consideration; however, it is impeded by the expense of these processes. The primary target of this review was to exhibit the theory of concurrent remediation of both heavy metals defiled soil and groundwater by coordinating the compound immobilization strategies.
In this study, byproducts such as red mud, biochar and fly ash were used as binders, for the immobilization of heavy metals in combination with sodium silicate solution to produce a geopolymer-based material that can be utilized as building materials. The utilization of biochar for the remediation of debased soils might give another solution to the problem for the soil contamination issue. This study concentrated on the leachability of heavy metals in the unrefined components and the geopolymer as this would be critical in surveying the natural effect of the item. The leachability of heavy metals such as Cu, Zn, Cd, Pb, Fe, and Cr was evaluated based on Indian standards with pH values at 7. The results showed that raw materials (biochar, red mud and fly ash) have higher leachability than geopolymer specimens; also the values of leaching tests for heavy metals in the geopolymer-based materials.

Keywords: *Immobilization, Heavy Metals, Biochar, Red Mud, Fly Ash.*

1. Introduction
A considerable lot of the trace metals (e.g., Pb, Cd, and Zn) began from strong garbage removal, wastewater water system, pesticide application, and environmental affidavit can gather in surface soil and can possibly drain into groundwater [1]. The enduring idea of pollution is subject to the sort of soil and its physicochemical properties. Heavy metals, particularly lead (Pb = 250–500 ppm), cadmium (Cd = 3–6 ppm), and chromium (Cr ≥ 150 ppm) high concentration in the soil environment [2, 3], were considered as a major threat to human health through the food chain cause severe harm to the environment. Killing heavy metals from the soil is a truly troublesome issue since they are express extraordinarily intense tainting [4]. It results from it the self-filtration processes are happening in the soil step by step [5]. It seems, by all accounts, to be that the treatment is enough effective in immobilization of trace metals in the soil, sensibly restricting their hostile result on the environment [6].

Immobilization is one of the engineered strategies remediation of soils corrupted with heavy metals. It is notable that the expanded versatility of heavy metals is connected basically to the exorbitant fermentation of soils. Thusly, basic maturation of soils, which increments by human-focused strain, prompts gathering in soils of intense activities of express metal particles. This applies expressly metal particles, for example, Cu, Mn, Zn, which are major for the suitable working of carrying on with living things, however, their overabundance is a lack, a danger to these living beings. [7] Discovered inorganic polymer composite based alumino-silicate structures and named in geopolymer. Geopolymer was considered a construction material with high potential sustainable because of its lower energy and carbon footprint in comparison with Portland cement-based materials [8,9]. Such material uses industrial waste such as red mud, fly ash, biochar as raw material which reduced its cost of production. Fly ash is the waste material of the arrangement of the residue. The useful result of rubbish on the soil is typical on a very basic level by its substance (the low substance of critical metals, nonappearance of radioactive part) [10]. The usage of fly ashes from Industries to the immobilization of heavy metals relating to different kinds of soil and present-day wastes has been depicted in works [11,12]. In the light of the insinuated forming information, it is sensible to give an idea to the immobilization of picked heavy metals (Cu, Mn, and Zn) utilizing fly rubbish from coal consuming, whose assumption was to the improvement of a convincing, viably accessible, and less expensive technique for compound remediation of defiled soil of Cu, Mn, and Zn. Biochar is the strong item from pyrolysis of waste biomass build ups from horticultural [13, 14]. The use of biochar in soil has been considered as to having the capacity to upgrade long haul carbon sequestration on the grounds that most carbon in biochar has a sweet-smelling structure and is exceptionally unmanageable in the climate [15]. Commonly, biochar has a high pH worth and cations trade limit and can improve soil efficiency [16]. Various investigations have additionally shown that biochar has a high ability to absorb toxins in soils [17]. Red mud is the residue of aluminium industry. It is basic and made basically out of iron and aluminium oxides. The salt can immobilize trace metals by precipitation and the iron and aluminium oxides can immobilize heavy metals by sorption. It seems interesting to employ red mud, which is the cost-effective industrial residue, as an agent to immobilize heavy metals. Worldwide, it is assessed that there have been more than 70 million tons of this RM squander being released each year [18-19]. The immobilization of heavy metals utilizing red mud change in soils has been effectively exhibited in many examinations [20, 21, 22].RM waste is a fine powder and along these lines simple to scatter into the general climate which might prompt environmental issues. Currently, RM is contained in dams however this utilization ups a ton of land region. Also, these dams present danger to encompassing local locations. In fact, in 2010, an accident wherein the failure of RM dam occurred in Hungary caused serious environmental consequences and health impact to people [23-24, 25-26].Therefore, it is imperative to manage the RM wastes properly to reduce its impact to environment and ecological systems as well as human. The goal of the current work is in the assurance of the absolute substance of Copper, Manganese and Zinc in inspected soil; explore the potential of fly ash, biochar & red mud combination to immobilize Cd, Zn, Cu, Fe, Cr and Pb in contaminated soils.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Description of Study area

Ludhiana city was established on the edge of Buddha Nullah which implies an old creek or conduit and runs corresponding to the Sutlej on its south. Buddha Nullah is a seasonal water stream and restricted unlined channel. It floods during the monsoon season. It starts close to Chamkaur Sahib town and joins in the stream Sutlej. The high speed of industrialization and growing people pressure has gotten an enormous gathering of ecological & natural issues and Buddha Nullah which enters and (30°95'N 75o.55'E) in the wake of going through thickly populated Ludhiana city, channels into Sutlej stream (29o.38' N, 71°.03' E) at Walipur Kalan, in the north western corner of Ludhiana City. Because of the absence of preparation and absence of authorization of laws, major enterprises are unloading their modern waste variety to Buddha Nullah which courses through the core of the city. It has turned into a wellspring of public aggravation and represents a genuine health hazard. A tremendous measure of craw domestic and industrial sewage from Ludhiana streams into the Sutlej consistently and is conveyed all around the state through water system waterways. Punjab has been represented as having an undeniable degree of tainting in light of overpowering metals from untreated industrial effluent discharge. This water channels undergrounds spring defiling it. The ruled significant toxins in wastewater are high suspended solids, compound oxygen demand, destructiveness, and other dissolvable substances (World Bank, 2008). This contaminated water through water system trenches is utilized for developing yields and is a transporter of destructive deadly diseases.

2.2 Methodology Adopted

2.2.1. Apparatus

The FAA spectrometer was used for Cu, Mn, and Zn confirmation in the plans. Evaluations were performed at repeat 319.8 nm (Cu), 275.3 nm (Mn), and 215.7 nm (Zn) utilizing a yellow fuel-rich air-acetylene fire (acetylene stream speed 2.0 $dm^3 \cdot min^{-1}$; wind current speed 8.0 $dm^3 \cdot min^{-1}$) and burner stature of 3 mm. The logical lines were picked to use a cut width of 0.8 mm (Cu and Zn) and 0.2 mm (Mn) [37]. A Hollow cathode light at 10 mA (Cu and Zn), 20 mA (Mn) was used. The pH of the extraction isn't completely firmly established with a pH meter with a glass blend cathode.

2.2.2. Chemicals and Reagents

All designed materials and reagents were of logical grade or higher ethicalness. The strategies were ready by dissolving the fitting blends in double-distilled refined water from the Water Purification System. The reagents were ready and taken care of in clean polyethylene bottles. Dishes and plastic product used all through the preliminary work were as of late retained 10% corrosive shower for the time being furthermore washed totally in double-distilled refined water. Standard ideas were prepared from standard solutions for atomic maintenance Cu fixation 1.000 $\mu g \cdot cm^{-3}$ in 1% of HNO_3, Mn focus 1.000 $\mu g \cdot cm^{-3}$ in 1% of HNO_3 and Zn focus 1.000 $\mu g \cdot cm^{-3}$ in 1% of HNO_3. Working standard courses of action containing Cu, Mn and Zn were ready by the continuous debilitating of the fitting standard solution for nuclear retention.

2.2.3. Test Collection and Preparation

The surface soil tests (significance: 0 - 15 cm) were collected from the district of the super-solid knoll from the field of 0.2 ha (the region around Buddha Nullah). The example arrangement was performed by Norms [27]. The lab test of the heaviness of around 0.5 kg was ready by the "quarter" strategy from the overall example that weighed around 10.0 kg, subsequent to getting it already out of sight dried state in the research center conditions. The air-dried soil was sieved through a research center strainer with openings of 1 mm and it was handled in an agate mortar to a fine powder (□< 100µm).

2.2.4. Complete Metal Determination

Bio-char, Fly Ash and Red Mud tests were handled in open PTFE® vessels. 1.00 g of debris, biochar, Red Mud was handled with a blend of 8 cm3 64% HNO3, 4 cm3 40% HF, 2 cm3 35% HCl, and 10 cm3 H2O. Straightforwardly following dispersal of liquids in a hot plane (T = 97˚C), the strategy was reestablished with water to 50 cm3, and Cu, Mn, and Zn were coordinated by the Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (FAAS). The assessment of the hard and fast substance of manganese in soil tests with concentrated HF and HClO4 acids is portrayed in the work [42]. Somehow or another, the evaluation of the full scale content of Cu and Zn was acted in the examined soil.

2.2.5. Experimental Process

In this research, Fly ash (FA), Bio-char (BC), and Red Mud (RM) was passed through 90µm-mesh. Red Mud waste after being dried for 24 hours was ground in 30 minutes using superfine ball miller and then pass through 90µm-mesh. The ratios of raw materials were used as shown in Table 1. All mixtures were mixed for 30 minutes with water (ratio of solid and liquid was at 1:0.4). Here, a water glass solution (WGS) was utilized as alkaline solution to increase pH value and supply the sodium hydroxide reactant for the geopolymer combinations. The reactant resultant was its silica modulus (SiO_2: Na_2O proportion) is at 2.5:1 and was dissolved to obtain 50%-water solution. The geopolymer blends are shaped in 50x50x50mm block concrete form and restored at room temperature (26^{O}C, 82% humidity)

Table 1. Geopolymer-based materials Mix Design

Samples	Bio Char	Red Mud	Fly Ash	Water Glass Solution
X1	25	30	60	10
X2	35	45	45	10
X3	45	60	30	10
Y1	30	25	55	20
Y2	45	40	40	20
Y3	60	55	25	20
Z1	25	25	45	30

Z2	40	35	35	30
Z3	55	45	25	30

The 28-day geopolymer samples were tried for leachability with the pH of the arrangement at 7. Most importantly geopolymer tests were ground with particle size under 10 mm (95%) and afterward these solids were soaked into an solution with pH at 7 (refined water). The proportion of solution-solid was at 10, which implies 10 ± 0.5g strong per 100g arrangement, and put on an arrangement of roller-table revolution (10rpm). The ideal opportunity for soaking and agitation was at 24 hours at room temperature (26^{O}C). From that point onward, they filtered through a 0.45μm size filter. At last, the filtered arrangements were tested elemental composition by inductively coupled plasma – atomic emission spectrometry.

Fig.1.Geo-polymer specimens mold in preferred sizes

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Soil Composition

The fundamental chemical and physical properties of the soil are displayed in Table 2. Based on the outcomes, it might be expressed that the inspected soil (because of the worth estimated with the pH in KCl arrangement) could be remembered for unbiased soils, of which the pH in the KCl game plan goes from 6.6 - 7.2. The pH value is a deciding variable in the adaptability of heavy metals in soil. In fair soils, taking heavy metals by plants is more humble conversely, with earnestly cruel soils. The carbonate levels in the evaluated soil are low. The outcomes got to affirm the way that in layers of surface soils the low carbonate levels address the somewhat acid neutralizer settling specialist or fair nature of the soil. The substance of open kinds of phosphorus, potassium, and magnesium in the evaluated soil aggregates solely: 15.3 mg P2O5/100g; 13.8 mg K2O/100g, and 8.1 Mg/100g of the dry mass of soil. The examined soil showed the granulometric appearances containing 65.5% earth fragment and 21.2% build up division. The insignificant piece of sand is the humblest and it adds up to 15.9%

Table 2. Physical and compound properties of the soil (the results introduced mean potential gains of information taken from 10 models).

Parameter	Value of parameter
pH_{H2O} (1:2.5)	6.4
pH_{KCl} (1:2.5)	6.1
CaCO3 (%)	<1.0
O.M.[a] (%)	1.1
C org. (%)	0.6
CEC[b] (meq/100 g soil)	10.3
SOIL TEXTURE (%)	
Clay (0 - 2 μm)	60.9
Silt (2 - 50 μm)	21.1
Sand (>50 μm)	15.9
TEXTURAL CLASS-LOESS	

[a]Organic matter, [b]Cation exchange capacity

3.2. Total Content of Metal

One of the solids restricting to the surface level of the soil is Copper and it doesn't move into the dirt profile. Nonetheless, in view of the for the most part high speed of bio-collection also a risk of pollution. The substance of Copper in the attempted soil was practically identical to 47.1 mg•kg-1 dry mass of soil (Table 3). From around the world, the percent of copper falls between 1 to 140 mg•kg-1; its ordinary is 6.5 mg•kg-1. Regardless a significant part of the time recognized suitable impediment of Cu in soils is 100 mg•kg-1 [28]. The acquired outcomes show that the mean substance of Cu in the attempted soil potentially strays track from the ordinary for the Cu obsession, which might be connected with the flood of development particulate matter-containing metals from modern and manufacturing plants around the area where tests were gathered and tried in the lab. Zinc is one of the movability of metals in the dirt; its aggregating in the surface degrees of mineral and ordinary soil relies on how much standard matter, which makes a genuinely consistent bond with the metal. The higher plants by and large take this part with respect to its fixation in the soil, accordingly, the bio-availability of Zn and the related danger of going into the grounded dominance hierarchy demand is essential in view of the extraordinary dissolvability of combinations. The social occasion of Zn in the dirt stood the primer of 125.0 - 275.0 mg•kg-1 dry mass of soil, with a customary of 201.9 mg•kg-1 dry mass of soil (Table 3). The substance of Zn in soils on the world fails in the degree of 150 to 400 mg•kg-1, as the regular percent in the soil of this part is 40 mg•kg-1. The got essentially expanded substance of Zn in the concentrated soil may be a direct result of how zinc is brought into the dirt on the grounds that rural or agricultural creation is reliant upon the accumulation of surface soil levels. The pollution of the soil's manganese is associated with its development, rather than the aggregate [29]. The substance of Mn in soils of the world, dependent upon the sort and nature of the soil, and it is organized in the compass from100 to 1200 mg•kg-1 [28]. In the assessment, the value of Mn fitted in a compass 280.0 - 415.0 mg•kg-1 dry mass of soil, with a normal of 361.9 mg•kg-1 dry mass of soil (Table 3). Among the review done on trace metals, it was seen that Zinc Content showed the best assortment, and afterward Mn and Cu.

Table 3. The absolute substance of Cu, Mn, and Zn in the dirt utilizing the FAAS strategy

Complete substance of metal	Mean [mg×kg–1]	Range [mg×kg–1]	Standard deviation, SD	Coefficient of variation, CV [%]
Copper	47.1 (±2.9)	11.0 - 59.9	68.4	47.3
Manganese	361.9 (±17.3)	280.0 - 415.0	61.8	56.8
Zinc	201.9 (±24.4)	125.0 - 275.0	24.6	61.9

In this review, all the byproducts fly-ash, bio-char and red mud, just as geopolymer tests (X1, X2, X3, Y1, Y2, Y3, Z1, Z2, and Z3), were tried the leachability of heavy metals like Cu, Zn, Cd, Pb, Fe, and Cr. The trials were done dependent on the norm of Indian Standards with the arrangements utilized for pH at 7. After filtering via 0.45µm-size filter paper, the solution was conducted testing the heavy metal compositions by ICP-AES; SII Nanotechnology SPS 7800. Results are recorded in the accompanying tables.

Table 4. Leaching tests for heavy metals of materials

	Heavy Metals (ppm)					
Materials	Cu	Zn	Cd	Pb	Fe	Cr
Red Mud	0.23	1.71	0.70	3.10	32.41	28.91
Biochar	5.56	2.87	1.14	3.47	26.78	12.68
Fly ash	15.12	11.99	2.43	5.21	10.54	4.56
X1	2.18	3.65	0.71	0.87	4.29	5.72
X2	1.76	2.15	0.43	0.71	5.71	5.15
X3	1.32	3.90	0.25	0.43	6.98	6.75
Y1	0.69	0.43	0.10	0.21	2.65	3.27
Y2	0.54	0.43	0.08	0.02	1.32	2.14
Y3	0.43	0.24	0	0	1.52	2.48
Z1	0	0	0	0	0.76	0.25
Z2	0	0	0	0	0.78	0.26

Z3	0	0	0	0	0.85	0.43
EULFD Limits	<50	<50	<1	<10	NEUTRAL	<10
USEPA Limits	NEUTRAL	<200	<0.5	<5	NEUTRAL	<5

For the geopolymer-based materials, the higher convergence of WGS is, the lower the lower leaching values of heavy metals are, and regardless of whether came to zero with the geopolymer contained 30% WGS. Particularly, the absolute Cr in Red Mud was at 28.91 ppm; in any case, the geopolymer items (Z1, Z2, and Z3) recognized the low leachability of this metal in the scope of 0.25-0.43ppm. The leaching values are zero in instances of Cu, Zn, Cd, Pb. Obviously; the geopolymer-based materials are superior to their unrefined components (Fly ash, Biochar, and Red Mud) for the leachability of heavy metals. There have two purposes behind a clarification of this. The first, the cations of heavy metals (Cu^{2+}, Cd^{2+}, Fe^{3+}, Zn^{2+}, Pb^{2+}, and all-out Cr) participated in the balance of the negative charge of tetra-silicate ($[SiO_4]^{4-}$) and sodium tetra-aluminate ($[Na^+AlO_4]^{4-}$) [30-32]. The subsequent explanation comes from the alumino-silicate geopolymeric framework and the closed micro-porewhich made the traps keep all cations of heavy metals. Consequently, the cations can't deliver out of the geopolymer products [31, 33]. In this manner, in the wake of being treated by geopolymerization strategy, the materials have high immobilization behavior of heavy metals.

Conclusions

The issue connected with the remediation of debased soils is problematic and requires efficient examination pointed toward fostering the best, least expensive and most promptly accessible strategies. Improvement of the industries identified with the release of red mud and fly ash has led to numerous natural issues. This exploration showed the proposed strategy using the Red mud, biochar, and fly debris to the immobilization of heavy metals is really easy to complete. It very well may be a powerful method for synthetic remediation for soils contaminated with Cu (range from 10 - 300 mg•kg^{-1}), Mn (300 - 3000 mg•kg^{-1}), or Zn (50 - 500 mg•kg^{-1}). The essential benefit of this framework is the reality that can be applied to huge regions because of the non-harmful properties of these binder materials. This method is both to control the solid wastes and use them as natural substances for the creation of geopolymer-based materials. The outcomes showed that the filtering upsides of geopolymer materials are lower than the initial solid wastes evaluated in the pH condition at 7. In the future, more low-cost, eco-friendly soil remediation methods should be developed for better soil health and plant productivity.

References

1. Niu L, Yang F, Xu C, Yang H, Liu W (2013) Status of metal accumulation in farmland soils across China: from distribution to risk assessment. Environ Pollut 176:55–62
2. Awashthi, S. K. Prevention of Food Adultration (Ashoka Law House, 2000).
3. Fuster, V. et al. Erratum: ACC/AHA/ESC 2006 guidelines for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation-executive summary. Eur. Heart J. 28, 2046 (2007).
4. B. J. Alloway and D. C. Ayres, "Chemical Principles of Environmental Pollution," 2nd Edition, Blackie Academic & Professional (Chapman & Hall), London, 1997, pp. 205- 211.
5. A. Bradshaw, "The Use of Natural Processes in Reclamation—Advantages and Difficulties," Landscape and Urban Planning, Vol. 51, No. 2-4, 2000, pp. 89-100.doi:10.1016/S0169-2046(00)00099-2
6. A. Kabata-Pendias, M. Piotrowska and T. Witek, "Evaluation of the Quality and Capabilities of Agricultural Use of Soils Contamined with Heavy Metals," Soil Science and Plant Institute, Puławy, 1993.
7. J. Davidovits, Geopolymer chemistry and application, 3rd editon, Institute Geopolymer, France, 2011.
8. H.T. Nguyen, T.K. Pham, M.M.A.B. Abdullah, Lightweight Heat Resistant Geopolymer-based Materials Synthesized from Red Mud and Rice Husk Ash Using Sodium Silicate Solution as Alkaline Activator, MATEC Web Conferences 97 (2017) 011-019
9. A.K.R. Sumabat, A.J. Manalac, H.T. Nguyen, M.E. Kalaw, R.R. Tan, M.A.B. Promentilla, Optimizing geopolymer-based material for industrial application with analytic hierarchy process and multi-response surface analysis, Chemical Engineering Transaction 45 (2015) 1147-1152.
10. R. Mohapatra and J. R. Rao, "Some Aspects of Characterisation, Utilisation and Environmental Effects of Fly
Ash (a Review)," Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology, Vol. 76, No. 1, 2001, pp. 9-26. doi:10.1002/1097-4660(200101)76:1<9::AID-JCTB335> 3.0.CO;2-5
11. C. Fernández-Pereira, Y. L. Galiano, M. A. RodriguezPiňero, J. Vale and X. Querol, "Utilisation of Zeolitised
Coal Fly Ash as Immobilizing Agent of a Metallurgical Waste," Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology, Vol. 77, No. 16, 2002, pp. 305-310. doi:10.1002/jctb.584
12. X. Querol, A. Alastuey, N. Moreno, E. Alvarez-Ayuso, A. García-Sánchez, J. Cama, C. Ayora and M. Simón, "Immobilization of Heavy Metals in Polluted Soils by the Addition of Zeolitic Material Synthesized from Coal FlyAsh," Chemosphere, Vol. 62, No. 2, 2006, pp. 171-180. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.05.029
13. Wang H, Lin K, Hou Z, Richardson B, Gan J (2010) Sorption of the herbicide terbuthylazine in two New Zealand forest soils amended with biosolids and biochars. J Soils Sediments 10:283–289
14. Xu X, Cao X, Zhao L, Wang H, Yu H, Gao B (2013) Removal of Cu, Zn, and Cd from aqueous solutions by the dairy manure-derived biochar. Environ Sci Pollut Res 20:358–368
15. Lehmann J (2007) A handful of carbon. Nature 447:143–144

16. Kookana RS (2010) The role of biochar in modifying the environmental fate, bioavailability, and efficacy of pesticides in soils: a review. J Aust Soil Res 48:627–637
17. Beesley L, Moreno-Jiménez E, Gomez-Eyles JL, Harris E, Robinson B, Sizmur T (2011) A review of biochars' potential role in the remediation, revegetation and restoration of contaminated soils.Environ Pollut 159:3269–3282
18. M.E.L. Kalaw, A.B. Culaba, H.T. Nguyen, K. Nguyen, H. Hinode, W. Kurniawan, S.M.Gallardo, M.A.B. Promentilla, Mechanical and Thermal Properties of Geopolymers from Mixtures of Coal Ash and Rice Hull Ash using Water Glass Solution as Activator, ASEAN Journal of Chemical Engineering, 15 (2) (2015), 51-61.
19. A.K.R. Sumabat, A.J. Manalac, H.T. Nguyen, M.E. Kalaw, R.R. Tan, M.A.B. Promentilla,Optimizing geopolymer-based material for industrial application with analytic hierarchy process and multi-response surface analysis, Chemical Engineering Transaction 45 (2015) 1147-1152.
20. Gray CW, Dunham SJ, Dennis PG, Zhao FJ, McGrath SP (2006) Field evaluation of in situ remediation of a heavy metal contaminated soil using lime and red-mud. Environ Pollut 142:530–539
21. Bertocchi AF, Ghiani M, Peretti R, Zucca A (2006) Red mud and flyash for remediation of mine sites contaminated with As, Cd, Cu,PbandZn.JHazardMater134:112–119
22. BrownS,ChristensenB,LombiE,McLaughlinM,McGrathS,Colpaert J, Vangronsveld J (2005) An inter-laboratory study totest the ability of amendments to reduce the availability of Cd,Pb,andZninsitu.EnvironPollut138:34–45
23. A.K.R. Sumabat, A.J. Manalac, H.T. Nguyen, M.E. Kalaw, R.R. Tan, M.A.B. Promentilla, Optimizing geopolymer-based material for industrial application with analytic hierarchy process and multi-response surface analysis, Chemical Engineering Transaction 45 (2015) 1147-1152.
24. J. Benedek, The Kolontar Report: Causes and lessons from the red mud disaster, Published by the Greens/ European free alliance parliamentary group in the European parliament and LMP –Politics can be different, Cypress Ltd., 2011.
25. T. Tamas and J. Sirotek, BLOM together with Karoly Robert College finished the analysis of the red mud disaster in Hungary, BLOM imaging the world, 2011.
26. G. Zhang and J. He, Geopolymerization of red mud and rice husk ash and potentials of the resulting geopolymeric products for civil infrastructure applications, Development in strategic materials and computational design II, The American ceramic society, (2011) 45-52.
27. J. Namieśnik, Z. Jamrógiewicz, M. Pilarczyk and L. Tor-res, “The Preparation of Environmental Samples for Analysis,” Publishing Company of Science and Tech- nology, Varsov, 2000.
28. A. Kabata-Pendias and H. Pendias, “Biogeochemistry of Trace Elements,” Polish Scientific Publishing Company, Varsov, 1999.
29. J. Kalembkiewicz, E. Sitarz-Palczak and L. Zapała, “A Study of the Chemical Forms or Species of Manganese Found in Coal Fly Ash and Soil,” *Microchemical Journal*, Vol. 90, No. 1, 2008, pp. 37-43. doi:10.1016/j.microc.2008.03.003
30. B.I. El-Eswed, R.I. Yousef, M. Alshaaer, I. Hamadneh, S.I. Al-Gharabli, F. Khalili, Stabilization/solidification of heavy metals in kaolin/zeolite based geopolymers, International journal of mineral processing 137 (2015) 34-42.

31. X. Gou, W. Hu, H. Shi, Microstructure and sefl-solidification/stabilization (S/S) of heavy metals of nano-modified CFA-MSWIFA composite geopolymers, Construction and building materials 56 (2014) 81-86.
32. G. Qian, D.D. Sun, J.H. Tay, Immobilization of mercury and zinc in an alkali activated slag matrix, Journal of hazardous materials B101 (2003) 65-67.
33. N. Boke, G.D. Birch, S.M. Nyale, L.F. Petrik, New synthesis method for the production of coal fly ash-based foamed geopolymers, Construction and building materials 75 (2015) 189-199.